

Philip M. Morse, Chairman Betsy Ancker-Johnson Albert A. Bartlett Robert T. Beyer Peter B. Boyce Lewis M. Branscomb W.F. Brinkman Arthur M. Bueche Joseph A. Burton **Robert Beck Clark** Charles B. Duke William A. Fowler Hans Frauenfelder Laurence W. Fredrick James B. Gerhart Roderick M. Grant, Jr. William W. Havens, Jr. Ira J. Hirsh Richard W. Hoffman H. William Koch (ex officio) Irvin M. Krieger Archie I. Mahan Sidney Millman (ex officio) Jacques Ovadia Yih-Ho Pao Jarus W. Quinn Norman F. Ramsey Arthur L. Schawlow Edgar A.G. Shaw Warren J. Smith Murray Strasberg A.A. Strassenburg Harold F. Weaver **Dudley Williams** R.A. Young

AIP OFFICERS

Philip M. Morse, Chairman H. William Koch, Director Sidney Millman, Secretary Gerald F. Gilbert, Treasurer Lewis Slack, Associate Director for Educational Services Robert H. Marks, Associate Director for Publishing

PUBLISHING SERVICES

A.W.K. Metzner,

Director, Publications Division I Theodore Metaxas, Manager, Woodbury Composition Division Mary Tully Alarcon Translations Office John Di Caro Fulfillment Manager Rita Lerner Marketing Manager Dr. P.J. Shepherd, Dept. of Physics, University of Exeter, Exeter, England

American Institute of Physics Translations Editorial Committee:

Clark S. Robinson, Chairman, (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.), J. George Adashko, (Sov. Phys. JETP), Leonid V. Azaroff, (Sov. Phys. Solid State), Robert T. Beyer, (Sov. Phys. Acoust.), David L. Book, (Sov. J. Plasma Phys.), Andre Kusubov, (JETP Lett.), Herbert Lashinsky, (Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys., Sov. Tech. Phys. Lett.), Alexei A. Maradudin, (Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys.), N.M. Queen, (Sov. J. Part. Nucl.), Marc H. Richman, (Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.), Howard A. Robinson, (Sov. J. Opt. Technol.), P. Shepherd (Sov. Phys. Dokl.), Pierre Sokolsky, (JETP Lett.), Albin Tybulewicz, (Sov. J. Quantum Electron., Sov. Phys. Solid State, Sov. Phys. Semicond.), George M. Volkoff, (Sov. Phys. Usp.) Campbell M. Wade, (Sov. Astron., Sov. Astron. Lett.), Patricia Wakeling, (Opt. Spectrosc.), A.W.K. Metzner (Secretary)

Editor of *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR:* A.A. Baev Editorial Board: L.I. Sedov, Associate Editor Yu.A. Pashkovskii, Executive Secretary

V.A. Ambartsumyan, L.M. Brekhovskikh, Yu.A. Buslaev, V.S. Vladimirov, L.V. Keldysh, D.S. Korzhinskii, V.V. Menner, A.I. Oparin, A.V. Peĭve, Yu.V. Prokhorov, G.A. Razuvaev, R.Z. Sagdeev, M.A. Sadovskii, V.I. Smirnov, V.E. Sokolov, A.N. Tikhonov, T.M. Turpaev, M.Kh. Chailakhyan, N.M. Emanuel'.

Soviet Physics—Doklady, a translation of ДОКЛАДЫ АКАДЕМИЙ HAYK CCCP, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, is a monthly journal published by the American Institute of Physics for the purpose of making available in English reports of current Soviet research in physics as contained in the physics sections of the Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR. The Proceedings appear 36 times per year, and the physics sections are accumulated and English translations of them are published in 12 issues per year. The translations began with the 1956 issue.

Soviet Physics—Doklady is translated and produced for the American Institute of Physics by Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation. One volume is published annually.

The American Institute of Physics and its translators propose to translate faithfully all the material appearing in the original articles. The views expressed in the translations are therefore those of the original authors, and not of the translators nor of the American Institute of Physics. The transliteration of the names of Russian authors follows essentially the standard agreed upon by the British Standards Institute and the American National Standards Institute.

Copyright 1980, American Institute of Physics. Individual readers of this journal, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are freely permitted to make fair use of the material in it, such as to copy an article for use in teaching or research. (For other kinds of copying see "Copying Fees.") Permission is granted to quote from this journal in scientific works with the customary acknowledgment of the source. To reprint a figure, table, or other excerpt requires, in addition, the consent of AIP. Republication or systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this journal (including abstracts) is permitted only under license from AIP; address inquiries to Director, Publications Division, American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017.

Second-class postage paid at Woodbury N.Y. and additional mailing offices.

alloys exhibit a "memory" effect and are used in various areas of technology. However, an accurate picture of the structural transitions has not yet been obtained and the literature on the subject shows considerable disagreement, ¹⁻⁴ including, in some cases, the publication of mutually exclusive results.^{1,3} In our opinion these disagreements are caused by the fact that in the interpretation of the experimental data the multiplicity of structural transformations in the alloys investigated was not taken into account. The present work is devoted to the determination of the character and the order of the structural transitions in Ti-Ni alloys as a function of composition and temperature.

Our preliminary work⁵ showed that the high-temperature phase, with the B2 structure (CsCl bcc structure), may, depending upon the composition, transform directly to a B19' structure (rhombic B19 structure with additional monoclinic distortion) or may instead transform to a rhombohedral phase R which, upon further cooling, transforms in turn to the B19' phase.

The work described here was carried out on binary Ti-Ni alloys and ternary Ti-Ni-Fe alloys with an equiatomic relationship Ti/(Ni + Fe). The alloys were smelted from titanium iodide and electrolytic nickel and subjected to multiple electric-arc melting. After forging, the castings were rolled into a strip of thickness 1.5 mm for xray diffraction studies or were drawn into a wire of diameter 1 mm for electrical-resistance measurements. The studies were carried out after annealing for one hour at 800°C without preliminary cycling in the range of the transformations.

It was observed that the monoclinic B19' phase formed directly from the B2 underwent triclinic distortion upon further cooling and transformed to a B19" structure, as splitting of certain powder-pattern lines associated with the monoclinic structure as the temperature was lowered. The transformations $B19' \Rightarrow B19"$, like $B2 \Rightarrow R$, cannot be distinguished experimentally from second-order transitions, while the transformations $B2 \Rightarrow B19'$ and $R \Rightarrow B19'$ are typical first-order martensitic transformations. In contrast, the B19' phase formed from R does not experience any transformations upon cooling to -196° C. Assuming that the B19' phases formed from B2 and from R are somewhat different in their parameter values, we may expect that both phases will coexist in some concentration interval. However, verification of these conjectures is difficult, since the difference between the phases is at the limit of sensitivity of the techniques employed.

The extent of the martensitic transformations $B2 \Rightarrow B19'$ and $R \Rightarrow B19'$ was estimated in two ways from the xray diffraction data: as the ratio of the peak intensity of the $(101)_{B19'}$ line to its maximum value below M_f , and as the ratio of the intensity of the $(110)_{B2}$ line to its maximum value in the absence of the martensitic phases. The two methods gave consistent results except when the temperature interval of the single-phase state R upon cooling was very wide. The temperature T_R of the transition $B2 \Rightarrow R$ was determined from the onset of the broadening of the upper part of the intensity profile of the $(110)_{B2}$ line; this broadening precedes the rhombohedral splitting of this line. The temperature T_{Tr} of the transition $B19' \Rightarrow$ B19'' was determined from the onset of the regular broadening of the $(101)_{B19'}$ line.

Typical curves of the temperature dependence of the $(110)_{B2}$ peak intensity and the ratio c/a, corresponding to different regions of the transformation diagrams (Figs. 1 and 2), are shown in Fig. 3. The rhombohedral distortion c/a was determined for hexagonal close-packing. The cubic system corresponds to c/a = 1.224.



FIG. 1. Transformation diagram for the binary system Ti-Ni.

664 Sov. Phys. Dokl. 24(8), August 1979



FIG. 2. Transformation diagram for the TiNi-TiFe system.

0038-5689/79/08 0664-03 \$01.40

© 1980 American Institute of Physics

Edwards Exhibit 1023, p. 3



FIG. 3. Typical temperature dependences of the peak intensity of the (110_{B2}) line (solid curves) and the degree of rhombohedral distortion c/a (dashed curves) in the range of the transformation in various regions of the transformation diagrams of Figs. 1 and 2.

The electrical resistance was measured by the ammeter-voltmeter method with careful stabilization of the current and direct recording on an xy automatic recording instrument. The martensitic points of the B2 \Rightarrow B19' and R \Rightarrow B19' transitions were determined in the usual way as parameters of the hysteresis loop, while the temperature T_R of the B2 \Rightarrow R transition was determined as the temperature of the onset of the resistance increase accompanying the rhombohedral distortion of the cubic phase. Typical curves of the variation of the electrical resistivity upon cooling and heating in the range of the transformations are shown in Fig. 4.

The transformation diagrams for the binary system are shown in Fig. 1. For concentrations of nickel below 50% of the martensitic points are practically independent of composition, owing to the unavoidable two-phase character of the original material (NiTi + NiTi2) and consequently of the constant (equilibrium) composition of the high-temperature phase (B2) of NiTi. The line TR in Fig. 1 intersects the line M_S at 50.3% Ni, the line A_S at 50.7% NI, and the line Af near 51% Ni. For nickel contents below 46.75% the point T_R falls below M_f and the $B2 \Rightarrow R$ transition is not realized. As pointed out above, in alloys of this composition the B19' = B19" transition occurs (at -20 °C). We note that cycling in the temperature interval of the transformations lowers the points Ms, Mf, As, and Af to a larger degree than the point T_R , with the result that the points of intersection of the T_R line with the corresponding curves are displaced to the left.



FIG. 4. Typical curves of the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance in the range of the transformations in various regions of the transformation diagrams of Figs. 1 and 2.

observed:

 $\begin{array}{l} B2 \rightarrow B2 + B19' \rightarrow B19' \rightarrow B19'' \text{ (in region A),} \\ B2 \rightarrow B2 + B19' \rightarrow R + B19' \rightarrow B19' \text{ (in region B),} \\ B2 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R + B19' \rightarrow B19' \text{ (in regions C, D, and E);} \end{array}$

while the reverse transformations are:

 $\begin{array}{l} B19'' \rightarrow B19' \rightarrow B19' + B2 \rightarrow B2 \mbox{ (in region A),} \\ B19' \rightarrow R \rightarrow R + B2 \rightarrow B2 \mbox{ (in regions B and C),} \\ B19' \rightarrow B19' + R \rightarrow B19' + B2 \rightarrow B2 \mbox{ (in region D),} \\ B19' \rightarrow B19' + R \rightarrow R \rightarrow B2 \mbox{ (in region E),} \end{array}$

In the transformation diagrams, regions with different positions of the line T_R with respect to the lines denoting the beginning and end of the martensitic transformations are distinguished: $A(T_R < M_f)$; $B(M_f < T_R < M_s)$; $C(M_S < T_R < A_S)$; $D(A_S < T_R < A_f)$; and $E(T_R > A_f)$. In the region E the only transition observed above $-196^{\circ}C$ is $B2 \Rightarrow R$.

The multiplicity of diffusionless structural phase transitions in alloys with a specific chemical composition is a typical and, apparently, general characteristic of such transitions. This multiplicity of transitions and their products is not a manifestation of Ostwald's rule of stages, since the phases transform into each other not by a timedependent process with constant external conditions, but rather by change of these conditions. The specifics of each diffusionless process, exhibiting all or some of the classical indications of martensitic kinetics,⁷ are such that the final state for a given set of external conditions is reached very rapidly and, in the absence of diffusion processes will last for an indefinitely long period if the

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

²F. E. Wang, W. J. Buchler, and S. J. Pickart, J. Appl. Phys. <u>36</u>, 3232 (1965). ³J. E. Hanlon, S. R. Butler, and R. J. Wasilewski, Trans. AIME 239, 1323 (1967).

Translated by K. B. Lyons

by the equations

For metals¹

 $c_{\rm e} \frac{\partial T_{\rm e}}{\partial t} = jE - \delta' \nu_{\rm ei} (T_{\rm e} - T_{\rm i}),$

 $\delta = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \quad \frac{m_{\rm e} \, c_{\rm s}^2 \, n}{c_{\rm s} T} \gg 1,$

 $\delta \simeq \frac{m_e}{m_i} \ll 1.$

 $c_{\rm i} \frac{\partial T_{\rm i}}{\partial t} = \delta' \nu_{\rm ei} (T_{\rm e} - T_{\rm i}), \qquad \delta c_{\rm e} = \delta',$

the heating of the electron gas, we can assume that the

where δ is the elastic-collision coefficient of the elec-

where c_s is the speed of sound, while for a plasma²

Assuming that δ is a constant, i.e., is independent of

the relative velocity of the electrons and ions, we obtain

a solution of the system (1)-(4) in the form

 $T_e - T_i = a \sin^2 \omega t + b \sin \omega t \cos \omega t$, $T_{i} = \delta \nu_{ei} \left(\frac{a+c}{2} + \frac{B}{\omega} \sin^{2} \omega t \right);$

trons with the metal lattice or with the ions of the plasma.

heating of the electrons and the metal lattice is described

Features of the heating of matter by specially profiled radiation

V. K. Ablekov, Academician V. S. Avduevskii, Yu. N. Babaev,* and A. M. Frolov

(Submitted April 4, 1979)

Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 247, 1137-1140 (August 1979)

PACS numbers: 52.50.Gj, 72.30. + q

The problem of the heating of matter by radiation is basically determined by the physics of the introduction of electromagnetic energy and by the mechanisms of transfer of energy from the electrons to the ions of the plasma of the substance.

In the present work we consider the mechanism of the heating of the ions of a dense plasma and of a metal lattice, and its dependence on the energy of the intense incident radiation.

We consider a metal in the "cold-plasma" model i.e., we do not consider kinetic effects in the electron gas. The electron gas is assumed to be degenerate up to a temperature T_0 (T_0 is the degeneracy temperature). Hence we may restrict our attention, below this temperature, to electrons located near the Fermi level. The dispersion properties of the plasma and metal are not taken into account. We consider the spatially uniform formulation of the problem.

The electrons in the plasma or metal move in the field of a transverse wave of the form

 $E = E_0 \sin \omega t$.

The motion of an electron in the light-wave field obeys the equation

$$\frac{\partial u_e}{\partial t} = \frac{eE_0}{m_e} \sin \omega t - \nu_{ei} u_e, \qquad (2)$$

where ν_{ei} is collision frequency of electrons with the ions or with the metal lattice. In the general case, the collision frequency depends on the direction of the electron velocity.

Assuming that the time of action is small compared with the propagation time of the heating wave arising from

Sov. Phys. Dokl. 24(8), August 1979 666

0038-5689/79/08 0666-03 \$01.40

(1)

© 1980 American Institute of Physics

where

$$b = \delta^2 \frac{\nu_{ei}}{\omega} \frac{e^2 n E_0^2}{\delta m_e c_e} (\omega^2 + \nu_{ei}^2)$$

 $a + c = \frac{e^2 n E_0^2}{m_e c_e \delta (\omega^2 + v_{c1}^2)}$