

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MONOSOL RX, LLC
Petitioner

v.

ARIUS TWO, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00376
Patent No. 7,579,019

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
UNDER 37 CFR § 42.120 TO A PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF US PATENT NO. 7,579,019**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. TAPOLSKY’S INNOVATION OVER THE PRIOR ART	5
III. SKILL IN THE ART	8
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
V. THIS <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW IS LIMITED TO THREE SPECIFIC GROUNDS	19
VI. LEGAL STANDARDS: MONOSOL HAS THE BURDEN TO PROVE ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS	21
A. MonoSol’s Burden of Proof	21
B. MonoSol’s Burden to Prove Anticipation.....	22
C. MonoSol May Not Meet Its Burden to Prove Obviousness by Relying on Conclusory Statements or Conjecture	23
VII. THE BOARD SHOULD DISREGARD DR. PEPPAS’S TESTIMONY	25
VIII. BABAIAN FAILS TO ANTICIPATE TAPOLSKY CLAIMS 1–5 AND 7.....	29
A. Babaian’s Film Is Brittle, Not Flexible	30
B. Babaian’s Prolonged Residence Time Is More Than 1 Hour	32
IX. ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL WOULD NOT COMBINE KEITH AND BABAIAN, AND THE COMBINATION WOULD NOT PERMIT DIRECTIONAL DELIVERY IN ANY EVENT	37
X. FLOCKHART FAILS TO DISCLOSE THE METHODS OF CLAIMS 1–3 AND 5–7	43

A.	Flockhart Does Not Disclose a Flexible Device	43
B.	Flockhart Does Not Directionally Deliver the Pharmaceutical	46
C.	Flockhart Does Not Show Fast Onset/Desired Level	46
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Arkie Lures, Inc. v. Gene Larew Tackle, Inc.</i> , 119 F.3d 953 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	28
<i>Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc.</i> , 776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	27
<i>Atofina v. Great Lakes Chem. Corp.</i> , 441 F.3d 991 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	35
<i>Continental Can Co. USA, Inc. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	30
<i>Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	25, 40
<i>Glaxo Group Ltd. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 376 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	22
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	23
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	25, 40
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v Safari Water Filtration Sys.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	16
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGo Comm'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	24, 26, 28
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	38
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	24

<i>Key Mfg. Group, Inc. v. Microdot, Inc.</i> , 925 F.2d 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	17
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	23, 24, 40
<i>NetMoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	22, 35
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	10
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	10
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	22
<i>Rohm & Haas Co. v. Brotech Corp.</i> , 127 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	29
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	10
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> , 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	22
<i>W.L. Gore & Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	36
<i>In re Wilson</i> , 424 F.2d 1382 (CCPA 1970)	17
FEDERAL STATUTES	
35 USC § 102	19, 20
35 USC § 103	20
35 USC § 316(e)	21
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.