

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BIODELIVERY SCIENCES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Petitioner

v.

RB PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00325
Patent 8,475,832

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND | 1 |
| II. THE '832 PATENT | 6 |
| III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION..... | 9 |
| IV. PETITIONER HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING ON ANY OF THE PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY | 20 |
| A. The Reitman Declaration Should Be Disregarded | 20 |
| B. The Purported Anticipatory References Fail Because None Disclose A Specific Embodiment Within The Scope Of Claim 15 | 22 |
| 1. The Suboxone Tablet Label Does Not Disclose A Film And Therefore Cannot Anticipate (Ground 1)..... | 24 |
| 2. Neither Euro-Celtique Nor Labtec Disclose A Specific Embodiment Within The Scope Of Claim 15..... | 25 |
| a. Euro-Celtique Does Not Anticipate (Ground 9)..... | 26 |
| b. Labtec Does Not Anticipate (Ground 5)..... | 30 |
| C. BDSI's Petition Fails To Provide Sufficient Evidence To Meet The Threshold To Institute IPR On Any Obviousness Ground | 33 |
| D. Petitioner's Reliance On Yang And Birch As Supporting Certain Obviousness Grounds Is Equally Insufficient | 41 |
| 1. Yang | 42 |
| 2. Birch | 43 |
| V. CONCLUSION..... | 47 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|--|--------------------|
| CASES | |
| <i>Allergan, Inc. v. Barr Labs., Inc.</i> , 501 F. Appx. 965 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... | 35 |
| <i>Alza Corp. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> 464 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... | 35 |
| <i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)(en banc) | 30 |
| <i>In re Arkley</i> , 455 F.2d 586 (CCPA 1972) | 22, 25, 30 |
| <i>Blackberry Corp. v. MobileMedia Ideas LLC</i> , IPR2013-00016, Paper 32 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2014)..... | 34, 36 |
| <i>Conopco, Inc. v. Procter & Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2013-00509, Paper 10 (PTAB Feb. 12, 2014), | 22, 23, 25, 29, 32 |
| <i>Elcommerce.com, Inc. v. SAP AG</i> , No. 2011-1369, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 3357 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 24, 2014)..... | 34 |
| <i>Eli Lilly and Co. v. Sicor Pharms., Inc.</i> , 705 F. Supp. 2d 971 (S.D. Ind. 2010)..... | 26 |
| <i>Epistar v. Trustees of Boston Univ.</i> , IPR2013-00298, Paper 18 (PTAB Nov. 15, 2013) | 5 |
| <i>Ex Parte Cima Labs Inc.</i> , No. 2009-3071, 2009 Pat. App. LEXIS 7228 (BPAI Sept. 28, 2009)..... | 18 |
| <i>Ferring B.V. v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , No. 3:11cv481, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17536 (D. Nev. Feb. 6, 2013) | 17 |
| <i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2013) | 14 |
| <i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)..... | 34, 37, 40 |

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

Page(s)

| | |
|--|------------|
| <i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... | 34, 37, 40 |
| <i>Monsanto Co. v. Pioneer Hi-Bred Int’l, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00022, Paper 43 (PTAB April 11, 2013) | 21 |
| <i>Monsanto Co. v. Pioneer Hi-Bred Int’l, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00023, Paper 32 (PTAB April 11, 2013) | 36 |
| <i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... | 25 |
| <i>Norman Noble, Inc. v. NUTech Ventures</i> , IPR2013-00101, Paper 14 (PTAB June 20, 2013)..... | 40 |
| <i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>) | 14 |
| <i>Sanofi-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... | 22 |
| <i>Structural Rubber Prods. Co. v. Park Rubber Co.</i> , 749 F.2d 707 (Fed. Cir. 1984)..... | 26 |
| <i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton Dickinson & Co.</i> , 593 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 27 |
| <i>Valeant Int’l (Barbados) SRL v. Watson Pharms., Inc.</i> , No. 10-20526, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 128742 (S.D. Fl. November 7, 2011) | 25 |
| <i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... | 14 |
| <i>Wyers v. Master Lock Co.</i> , 616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 35 |

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page(s)

STATUTES

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)..... | 33 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 311(b) | 5, 21 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)..... | 33 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 313 | 1 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)..... | 47 |

OTHER AUTHORITIES

| | |
|---|-------|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)..... | 21 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.65(b)..... | 22 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)..... | 14 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)..... | 21 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.107 | 1 |
| 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... | 4, 34 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.