UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAP AMERICA INC.

Petitioner

v.

CLOUDING IP, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR 2014-00306

Patent 6,738,799

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO
PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER OF *INTER PARTES*REVIEWS 2014-00306 AND 2013-00586



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Related Case IPR2013-00586	1
Analysis	3
Conclusion	8



Table of Authorities

CASES
Kyocera Corp. v. SoftView LLC, IPR2013-00004 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 24, 2013) 4
STATUTES
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)
35 U.S.C. § 316(b)
REGULATIONS
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20(c)
37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)
37 C.F.R. § 42.122
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)
OTHER AUTHORITIES
157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)



Pursuant to the Board's Order of April 24, 2014, Paper 9, Patent Owner, Clouding IP, LLC, submits the following opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Joinder to join this proceeding with that in *Unified Patents Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*, IPR2013-00586.

Introduction

Petitioner SAP AMERICA INC. filed a Petition to institute an inter partes review of claims 1, 5-10, 12, 16-21, 23, 24, 30, 31, 37 and 42 of U.S. Patent 6,738,799 (Ex. 1001) (the "'799 Patent") pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 on December 27, 2013. *SAP America Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*, IPR2014-00306, Paper 1 (Dec. 27, 2013). Subsequently, on April 21, 2014, Petitioner filed a motion for joinder with IPR2013-00586. *SAP America Inc.*, IPR2014-00306, Paper 8 (Apr. 21, 2014) (hereinafter "Mot."). For the reasons that follow, Petitioner's motion should be denied.

Related Case IPR2013-00586

Pursuant to an Order dated March 21, 2014, trial has been instituted on multiple grounds in *Unified Patents Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*, IPR2013-00586. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*, IPR2013-00586, Paper 9 at 29-30 (Mar. 21, 2014). Specifically,



inter partes review of claims 1, 5-10, 12, 16-21, 23, 24, 30, 37, and 42 [was] instituted on the following claims and challenged grounds:

- (a) that claims 1, 12, 23, 24, 30, 31, 37, and 42 are unpatentable as anticipated by Williams under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e);
- (b) that claims 5-10 and 16-21 are unpatentable as obvious over Williams and Miller under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a);
- (c) that claims 37 and 42 are unpatentable as anticipated by Balcha under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e);
- (d) that claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 12, 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, and 31 are unpatentable as obvious over Balcha and Miller under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a);
- (e) that claims 6-8 and 17-19 are unpatentable as obvious over Balcha, Miller, and Freivald under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a); and
- (f) that claims 37 and 42 are unpatentable as obvious over Balcha and Freivald under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Id.

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order in IPR2013-00586, Patent Owner's Response and optional Motion to Amend are due May 21, 2014. IPR2013-00586, Paper 10 at 5 (Mar. 21, 2014). Consequently, Patent Owner's



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

