

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., FORD MOTOR COMPANY, AMERICAN
HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH AMERICA
LLC, SUBARU OF AMERICA INC., TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA,
INC., and VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00291
Patent 6,324,463

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, HYUN J. JUNG, and GEORGE R. HOSKINS,
Administrative Patent Judges.

COCKS, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

1. Introduction

A conference call was held on November 12, 2014 concerning IPR2014-00291 between Judges Cocks, Jung, and Hoskins and counsel for the respective parties. Also present on the call was counsel representing Petitioners in four separate related *inter partes* review proceedings: IPR2014-00279; IPR2014-00280; IPR2014-00281; and IPR2014-00289. The respective Petitioners for those proceedings are as follows:

IPR2014-00279 – Subaru of America, Inc. et al. (“Subaru”);

IPR2014-00280 – Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et al. (“Toyota”);

IPR2014-00281 – Ford Motor Co. et al. (“Ford”); and

IPR2014-00289 – America Honda Motor Co., Inc. et al. (“Honda”)

Patent Owner and Petitioner entity Honda requested the conference call seeking authorization to file a joint motion to terminate Honda’s participation in the five related proceedings referenced above.

2. Discussion

During the call, counsel for Patent Owner explained that Petitioner entity Honda and Patent Owner had settled their dispute involving U.S. Patent 6,324,463, No party on the call expressed any opposition to the request for authorization to file a joint motion to terminate Honda’s participation in the following proceedings: IPR2014-00279; IPR2014-00280; IPR2014-00281; IPR2014-00289; IPR2014-00291. We authorized the motion.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, “[a]ny agreement or understanding between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of a proceeding shall be made in writing and a true copy shall be filed with the Board before termination of the trial.” A party to the settlement may also request that the

settlement be treated as business confidential information. The panel explained that the parties may file any settlement agreement as an exhibit, which may be designated as “Parties and Board Only” or “Board” only in the Board’s electronic Patent Review Processing System (“PRPS”).

During the call, counsel Matthew Satchwell indicated that, with respect to IPR2014-00289, he is currently designated as co-counsel, but would like to be designated as lead counsel in that proceeding. The panel expressed that Mr. Satchwell may do so by updating PRPS. Counsel should also file updated Mandatory Notices that reflect the change in counsel information for any involved proceeding.

3. Order

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner and Petitioner entity Honda are authorized to file a joint motion to terminate Honda’s participation in IPR2014-00291; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a true copy of any associated settlement agreement must be filed with the Board.

Case IPR2014-00291

Patent 6,324,463

PETITIONER:

Wab Kadaba

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

Clay Holloway

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

Matthew D. Satchwell

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

matthew.satchwell@dlapiper.com

Steven Reynolds

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

matthew.satchwell@dlapiper.com

William H. Mandir

SUGHRUE MION PLLC

wmandir@sughrue.com

John M. Caracappa

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

jcaracap@steptoe.com

Matthew J. Moore

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

matthew.moore@lw.com

PATENT OWNER:

John R. Kasha

KASHA LAW LLC

john.kasha@kashalaw.com

Kelly L. Kasha

KASHA LAW LLC

kelly.kasha@kashalaw.com