Paper No. 33

Date Entered: January 23, 2015

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., FORD MOTOR COMPANY, JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH AMERICA LLC, SUBARU OF AMERICA INC., TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., and VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA LLC, Petitioner,

v.

# CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00291 Patent 6,324,463

\_\_\_\_

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, HYUN J. JUNG, and GEORGE R. HOSKINS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

COCKS, Administrative Patent Judge.

#### **DECISION**

Joint Motion to Terminate the *Inter Partes* Review with Respect to Nissan North America, Inc. 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.72



#### 1. Introduction

On December 23, 2014, Cruise Control Technologies LLC ("Patent Owner") and Nissan North America, Inc. ("Nissan") (collectively referred to as "the Parties"), as authorized<sup>1</sup>, filed a Joint Motion to Terminate this *inter partes* review proceeding with respect to Nissan. Paper 26 ("Joint Motion to Terminate"). Along with the Joint Motion to Terminate, the Parties filed a true copy of their written settlement agreement (Ex. 1011), as well as a joint request (Paper 27) to have their settlement agreement treated as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

#### 2. Discussion

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), "[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." The Parties also state the following in the Joint Motion to Terminate:

Patent Owner and Nissan respectfully submit that termination is appropriate because they have reached an agreement resolving the dispute involving the patent at issue in the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review, it is prior to full briefing on the issues raised in the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review, and the Board has not issued a final written decision. Further, Nissan represents that it will no longer participate even if the Board does not terminate its participation in the above captioned *Inter Partes* Review. That means Nissan will file no further papers. It also will not be conducting any further cross examination of Patent Owner's witnesses and will not be participating in any oral argument.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The motion was authorized via e-mail correspondence from Board personnel on December 19, 2014.



\_

Case IPR2014-00291 Patent 6,324,463

Joint Motion to Terminate 1.

Upon consideration of the circumstances of this proceeding, the panel has determined to terminate the *inter partes* review (IPR2014-00291) as to Nissan.

# 3. Order

It is

ORDERED that, as was requested timely by the Parties (Paper 27), the settlement agreement (Exhibit 1011) will be treated as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c); and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate the involvement of Nissan in IPR2014-00291 is *granted*.



Case IPR2014-00291 Patent 6,324,463

### PETITIONER:

Wab Kadaba KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

Clay Holloway
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

Matthew D. Satchwell DLA PIPER LLP (US) matthew.satchwell@dlapiper.com

Steven Reynolds
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
matthew.satchwell@dlapiper.com

William H. Mandir SUGHRUE MION PLLC wmandir@sughrue.com

John M. Caracappa STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP jcaracap@steptoe.com

Matthew J. Moore LATHAM & WATKINS LLP matthew.moore@lw.com



Case IPR2014-00291 Patent 6,324,463

PATENT OWNER:

John R. Kasha KASHA LAW LLC john.kasha@kashalaw.com

Kelly L. Kasha KASHA LAW LLC kelly.kasha@kashalaw.com

