#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH AMERICA, LLC, VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., and SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC., Petitioner

V.

## CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

CASE IPR: 2014-00281 Patent 6,324,463

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

				<u>Page</u>
I.	INT	RODU	ICTION	1
II.	LAV	V OF A	ANTICIPATION	2
III.	THE PETITION DOES NOT SHOW THAT THE CITED REFERENCES ANTICIPATE THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS			4
	A.	Ground A: Narita Does Not Anticipate The Challenged Claims		ıs4
		1.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "An Enable Switch Associated With Said Controller For Enabling The System" Or "A Memory Which Stores Information Indicative Of Said Preset Speed" (Claim 1)	
		2.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "A Cruise Control Enable Switch Associated With The Controller For Enabling And Disabling The Controller" Or "A Memory That Stores Information Representative Of The Selected Cruising Speed" (Claim 2)	
		3.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Removing Said Symbol When The Cruise Control System Is Deactivated Or A New Cruising Speed Is Selected" (Claim 12)	8
		4.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Discontinuing Display Of The Symbol Indicative Of The Preset [Speed] When The Cruise Control System Is Deactivated Or A New Preset Speed Is Selected" (Claim 13)	1
		5.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Before Setting The Preset Speed, Activating The Cruis Control System" (Claim 15)	e
		6.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Upon Braking The Vehicle, Discontinuing Maintaining The Vehicle At Substantially The Preset Speed While Keeping Data Corresponding To The Preset Speed In A Memory Device" (Claim 18)	



	7. The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Wherein The Symbol Indicative Of The Preset Speed Displayed At The Time After Braking And During Which Time The Vehicle Is Not Being Maintained At Substantially The Preset Speed, Is Distinguishable From The Symbol Indicative Of The Preset Speed While The Vehicle Is Being Maintained At Substantially The Preset Speed" (Claim 19)			
	8.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "Discontinuing Display Of The Symbol Indicative Of the Preset Speed After The Cruise Control System Is Deactivated Or A New Preset Speed Is Selected" Or "After The Cruise Control System Is Deactivated, Displaying A Symbol Indicative Of An Unset State Of The Preset Speed" (Claim 21)	11	
	9.	The Petition Does Not Show That Narita Discloses "A Memory Device Operable To Store Information Representative Of The Preset Speed" (Claim 26)	12	
B.		nd G: Nagashima Does Not Anticipate The Challenged	12	
	1.	The Petition Does Not Show That Nagashima Discloses "Upon Braking The Vehicle, Discontinuing Maintaining The Vehicle Speed At Substantially The Preset Speed While Keeping Data Corresponding To The Preset Speed In A Memory Device" Or "At A Time After Braking An During Which Time The Vehicle Is Not Being Maintained At Substantially The Preset Speed, Displaying To The Operator A Symbol Indicative Of The Preset Speed" (Claim 18)	12	
	2.	The Petition Does Not Show That Nagashima Discloses "Second Visual Display Apparatus Operable To Display The Visual Information Indicative Of An Operation Status Of The Speed Controller, Wherein The Visual Information Displayable By The Second Visual Display Apparatus Includes Visual Information Indicative Of The Preset Speed" (Claim 26)	13	



IV.	THE PETITION DOES NOT SHOW THAT THE CITED	
	REFERENCES RENDER OBVIOUS THE CHALLENGED	
	CLAIMS	14
V.	CONCLUSION	17



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>CASES</u>	
C.R. Bard v. M3 Sys., 157 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	2
Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Group, Inc., 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	2
<i>In re Bond</i> , 910 F.2d 831 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	2
<i>In re Nomiya</i> , 509 F.2d 566 (CCPA 1975)	14
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	3
In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	3
Net MoneyIn, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc., 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	3, 4, 7



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

