## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH AMERICA, LLC, VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., AND SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC.

Petitioner

v.

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00281 Patent 6,324,463

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



Pursuant to the Board's July 2, 2014 Scheduling Order (Paper 18), Petitioners respectfully request oral argument for the trial currently scheduled on March 24, 2015 in this proceeding. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Petitioners request one hour of argument time and specify the following issues, without intent to waive consideration of any issue not requested, to be argued:

- I. The Proper Construction and Scope of the Claims under the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard.
- II. Whether Claims 1-3, 5, 12-16, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26, and 28 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by Narita.
- III. Whether Claims 17 and 22-24 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Narita in view of the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill.
- IV. Whether Claims 17 and 22-24 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Narita in view of Beiswenger.
- V. Whether Claims 17, 23, and 24 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Narita in view of Nagashima.
- VI. Whether Claims 1-3, 5, 12, and 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Narita in view of Admitted Prior Art.
- VII. Whether Claims 1-3, 5, 12, and 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Narita in view of the NHTSA Report.



VIII. Whether Claims 18, 19, 26, and 29-31 are unpatentable under 35

U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by Nagashima.

IX. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters including the

issues listed above.

Petitioner additionally requests permission to use audiovisual display

equipment to present demonstratives, including a projector and screen for

computer-generated slides. Any demonstrative exhibits beyond those attached

to the Petition will be served at least five business days before the oral

argument as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b).

This filing is timely, being submitted no later than DUE DATE 4

(February 23, 2015), according to the Scheduling Order.

Date: February 20, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

ERISE IP, P.A.

BY: /s/ Eric A. Buresh

Eric A. Buresh, Reg. No. 50,394

Jason R. Mudd, Reg. No. 57,700

6201 College Blvd., Suite 300

Overland Park, KS 66211

P: (913) 777-5600

F: (913) 777-5601

eric.buresh@eriseip.com

jason.mudd@eriseip.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.105(a)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(b), the undersigned certifies that on February 20, 2015, a complete and entire copy of Petitioners' Request for Oral Argument was served by electronic mail to Counsel for Patent Owner at the e-mail addresses identified below:

John R. Kasha, Reg. No. 53,100 Kelly L. Kasha, Reg. No. 47,743 Kasha Law LLC 14532 Dufief Mill Rd. North Potomac, MD 20878 (703) 867-1886 john.kasha@kashalaw.com kelly.kasha@kashalaw.com

Respectfully submitted,

ERISE IP, P.A.

BY: /s/ Eric A. Buresh
Eric A. Buresh, Reg. No. 50,394
Jason R. Mudd, Reg. No. 57,700
6201 College Blvd., Suite 300
Overland Park, KS 66211
P: (913) 777-5600
F: (913) 777-5601
eric.buresh@eriseip.com
jason.mudd@eriseip.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

