UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH AMERICA, LLC, VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., and SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,

v.

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2014-00281 Patent 6,324,463

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, HYUN J. JUNG, and GEORGE R. HOSKINS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

COCKS, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION

Motions for Counsel to Withdraw and Permit Substitution of New Counsel 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 Case IPR2014-00281 Patent 6,324,463

1. Introduction

Petitioner entity Ford Motor Company ("Ford") and Petitioner entity Volvo Cars of North America, LLC ("Volvo") have each filed a Motion for counsel to withdraw and permit substitution of new counsel. Papers 33 and 34. Each Motion requests authorization for withdrawal of Matthew J. Moore as lead counsel and Michael B. Eisenberg as back-up counsel, with Mr. Moore to remain as back-up counsel. The Motions also request substitution of Eric A. Buresh (Reg. No. 50,394) as lead counsel, and Jason R. Mudd (Reg. No. 57,700) as back-up counsel in this proceeding. Paper 33, 1; Paper 34, 1. The Motions indicate they are not opposed by the Patent Owner. Paper 33, 2; Paper 34, 2.

2. Conference Call

A conference call was held on January 9, 2015 between Judges Cocks and Jung, and counsel for the respective parties. The Petitioner was represented by Robert Steinberg and Jason Mudd. The Patent Owner was represented by John Kasha. The purpose of the call was to discuss the above-noted Motions to withdraw and substitute counsel. During the call, the panel explained to the parties that lead counsel must be a person who speaks on behalf of, and represents, all of the companies or entities that constitute the Petitioner in this *inter partes* proceeding. Thus, although the Motions are styled as being submitted by the entities Ford and Volvo, in so designating new lead counsel, that lead counsel would represent all the entities that make up the Petitioner. Counsel on the call for Petitioner acknowledged that lead counsel in this proceeding represents all of the entities that form the Petitioner. In that regard, counsel for Petitioner indicated that should the motions be granted, the Petitioner understands that Mr. Buresh will serve as lead counsel on behalf of all the entities that constitute the Petitioner in this proceeding. Counsel for Patent Owner indicated that the Patent Owner does not oppose the Motions, and has no objection to Mr. Buresh serving as lead counsel on behalf of the Petitioner.

During the call, counsel for Petitioner also explained that the reference to Michael B. Eisenberg in the Motions was a typographical error. In that respect, counsel explained that Robert Steinberg had been substituted for Mr. Eisenberg as back-up counsel in an earlier updated Mandatory Notices filing (Paper 21). In light of that explanation, the panel understands that the pertinent Motions should not be regarded as requesting withdrawal of Mr. Eisenberg as back-up counsel, as Mr. Eisenberg is no longer counsel of record in this *inter partes* review proceeding.

3. Conclusion

In view of the conference call noted above, the panel understands that the pertinent Motions for withdrawal and substitution of counsel in IPR2014-00281 were both filed on behalf of the Petitioner as a whole, and that the reference to Mr. Eisenberg as a part of those Motions is a typographical error. With that understanding in mind, the Motions are *granted*.

4. Orders

It is

ORDERED that the Motions for withdrawal and substitution of counsel are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Eric A. Buresh is recognized as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Jason R. Mudd is recognized as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Matthew J. Moore is now recognized as backup counsel in this proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must file updated Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R § 42.8(b)(3) and (b)(4) in this proceeding, and update the Board's electronic Patent Review Processing System with the appropriate counsel information. Case IPR2014-00281 Patent 6,324,463

PETITONER:

Eric A. Buresh ERISE IP, P.A. eric.buresh@eriseip.com

Jason R. Mudd ERISE IP, P.A. jason.mudd@eriseip.com

Matthew J. Moore LATHAM & WATKINS LLP matthew.moore@lw.com

Robert Steinberg LATHAM & WATKINS LLP <u>bob.steinberg@lw.com</u>

Matthew D. Satchwell DLA PIPER LLP (US) matthew.satchwell@dlapiper.com

John M. Caracappa STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP jcaracap@steptoe.com

Wab Kadaba KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

William H. Mandir SUGHRUE MION PLLC wmandir@sughrue.com

DOCKET

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.