

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES  
LLC,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.  
INC.,

DEFENDANT.

Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-11517-  
AJT-RSW

The Honorable Arthur J. Tarnow

**JOINT STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Cruise Control Technologies LLC (“CCT”) and Defendant American Honda Motor Company, Inc. (“Honda”) have resolved all disputes and claims between them relating to this case.

NOW, THEREFORE, CCT and Honda hereby stipulate to the dismissal of all claims and counterclaims asserted or that could have been asserted by CCT and Honda in this action, including any claim for attorneys' fees and costs. CCT and Honda jointly stipulate that each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses.

DATE: October 29, 2014

/s/Kristen L. Baiardi

/s/Paul T. O'Neill

ABBOTT NICHOLSON, P.C.  
Robert Y. Weller II (P 31148)  
Kristen L. Baiardi (P71931)  
300 River Place, Suite 3000  
Detroit, MI 48207-4225  
TEL: 313.566.2500  
FAX: 313.566.2502  
ryweller@abbottnicholson.com  
klbaiardi@abbottnicholson.com

BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP  
Paul T. O'Neill  
41000 Woodward Avenue  
Suite 200 East  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
TEL: 248.205.3300  
FAX: 248.205.3399  
paul.oneill@bowmanandbrooke.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Cruise Control  
Technologies LLC*

*Attorney for Defendant  
American Honda Motor Company, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES  
LLC,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.  
INC.,

DEFENDANT.

Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-11517-  
AJT-RSW

The Honorable Arthur J. Tarnow

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

On this day, Plaintiff Cruise Control Technologies LLC (“CCT”) and Defendant American Honda Motor Company, Inc. (“Honda”) announced to the Court that they have resolved all claims asserted or that could have been asserted by them in this case. CCT and Honda have therefore requested the Court dismiss all claims and counterclaims asserted or that could have been asserted by them in this case with prejudice, including any claim for attorneys’ fees or taxation of costs of court, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees, costs of court and expenses.

The Court, having considered this request, is of the opinion that their request for dismissal should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all claims and counterclaims asserted in this case are dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses shall be borne by each party incurring the same.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of October 2014.

s/Arthur J. Tarnow  
United States District Judge