IPR2014-00280, Paper No. 46 April 28, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- - - - - -

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - -

TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Patent Owner.

- - - - - - -

Case No. IPR2014-00280 U.S. Patent No. 6,324,463

Oral Hearing Held on Tuesday, March 24, 2015

Before: JOSIAH C. COCKS, HYUN J. JUNG, and GEORGE R. HOSKINS (via video link), Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, March 24, 2015, at 10:23 a.m., in Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

APPEARANCES:

DOCKET

Δ

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER TOYOTA MOTORS:

WILLIAM H. MANDIR, ESQ.
JOHN F. RABENA, ESQ.
FADI N. KIBLAWI, ESQ.
Sughrue Mion, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
202-293-7060

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOHN R. KASHA, ESQ. Kasha Law LLC 14532 Defief Mill Road North Potomac, Maryland 20878 703-867-1886

TIMOTHY M. SALMON, ESQ. Cruise Control Technologies LLC 14532 Defief Mill Road North Potomac, Maryland 20878

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:23 a.m.)
3	JUDGE COCKS: Thank you. I think we are all
4	back. We are once again on the record.
5	This is the second oral argument session for the
6	related proceedings involving U.S. Patent 6,324,463. This
7	oral argument concerns IPR2014-00280.
8	Once again, let's have counsel for both sides
9	introduce themselves, beginning with Petitioner.
10	MR. MANDIR: Good morning, Your Honors. My
11	name is William Mandir. I'm from the law firm of Sughrue
12	Mion in Washington, D.C., representing the Petitioner, Toyota
13	Motors.
14	Also joining me from my firm is Fadi Kiblawi, and
15	also John Rabena, who is backup counsel.
16	JUDGE COCKS: Thank you. For the Patent
17	Owner?
18	MR. KASHA: Good morning, Your Honor. My
19	name is John Kasha. I'm lead counsel for the Patent Owner.
20	And with me today is Mr. Timothy Salmon from Cruise
21	Control Technologies, and he will be doing the argument.
22	JUDGE COCKS: All right. Thank you, Mr.
23	Kasha. Once again, as we set forth in the trial hearing order,
24	each side has 45 minutes. The Petitioner may reserve rebuttal
25	time.

DOCKET

1	Patent Owner will then give the entirety of their
2	case, and the Petitioner will conclude with any time they
3	reserved.
4	So that being said, the podium is yours,
5	Mr. Mandir. Would you like to reserve some rebuttal time?
6	MR. MANDIR: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, I
7	would. I would like to reserve 15 minutes for rebuttal.
8	JUDGE COCKS: All right. Thank you.
9	MR. MANDIR: Because Mr. Satchwell already
10	talked about the patent, I think we can just go right into the
11	grounds for unpatentability.
12	In this IPR we have four different pieces of prior
13	art that are used. Three are from Mitsubishi Motors and one
14	is from Toyota Motors. The first one, Diamante, that refers to
15	the Diamante owner's manual for the Diamante automobile
16	made by Mitsubishi. It is the manual you would get when you
17	buy a car.
18	There is also a second manual. It is the Preview
19	Distance Control manual, also for the Diamante car.
20	There is a Japanese patent to Watanabe which is
21	assigned to Mitsubishi Motors and then, lastly, we have
22	another owner's manual. This the Toyota Motors manual for
23	its Celsior automobile.
24	And similar to what we saw in the previous IPR,
25	there is a chart in this exhibit, page DX-7, listing the claims

at issue in the IPR, and the check in the box corresponding to
 the reference.

So, for example, in 21, we have Diamante alone as
an anticipation, and also another grounds of Diamante in
combination with the Diamante Preview Distance Control,
based on an obviousness argument.

Again, similar to the previous presentation, the
green boxes indicate those claims that the Patent Office did
not specifically argue. So, for example, in a dependent claim
they may have just relied on the same argument they made for
the independent claim.

12 JUDGE COCKS: I think you said Patent Office.13 Did you mean Patent Owner?

14 MR. MANDIR: I meant Patent Owner, if I said15 office. Sorry, Your Honor.

16 The first ground that was instituted was an 17 anticipation of several of the claims based on the owner's 18 manual. This manual was published in 1995. Again, it is a 19 Mitsubishi Motors car, the Diamante. Here we see a figure 20 from the exhibit, Exhibit 104, which shows the operation of 21 the Diamante automobile.

First of all, the Diamante provides a preview
distance control of a vehicle based on preset cruise speed.
And if we look at this figure of the manual, we see, first of
all, we have a main switch that turns on the system. Once the

RM

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.