

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., SUBARU OF AMERICA  
INC., AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., NISSAN NORTH  
AMERICA INC., FORD MOTOR COMPANY, JAGUAR LAND ROVER  
NORTH AMERICA LLC, and VOLVO CARS OF NORTH AMERICA  
LLC  
Petitioners

v.

CRUISE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00280  
Patent 6,324,463

---

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER OF RELATED *INTER  
PARTES* REVIEW PROCEEDINGS - IPR2014-00279, IPR2014-00280,  
IPR2014-00281, IPR2014-00289, and IPR2014-00291**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. RELIEF REQUESTED..... 1**

**II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS..... 2**

**III. ARGUMENT ..... 7**

**A. Subaru, Toyota, Honda, Ford, Jaguar, Honda, Nissan and Volvo  
    Are A Single “Petitioner” ..... 7**

**B. Petitioner Has Already Violated The “One Petitioner, One Voice”  
    Rule And Admitted It Will Continue To Do So ..... 8**

**C. If The Petitions Are Not Denied, Pre-Institution Joinder Is The  
    Most Efficient Way To Proceed ..... 11**

**D. Pre-Institution Joinder Is Permissible Under The Rules And  
    Board Precedent ..... 14**

**E. Joinder Will Not Prejudice Petitioner ..... 15**

**IV. CONCLUSION..... 16**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), Patent Owner Cruise Control Technologies LLC (“Patent Owner”) files this Motion for Joinder of Related *Inter Partes* Review Proceedings (“IPRs”), IPR2014-00279, IPR2014-00280, IPR2014-00281, IPR2014-00289, and IPR2014-00291. No fee is required for consideration for this Motion, but if any fee is due, the Patent Office is authorized to charge Deposit Account 50-4075 (Customer No. 67050) the necessary fee.

#### **I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

By this Motion, Patent Owner requests joinder of related *inter partes* review proceedings, IPR2014-00279, IPR2014-00280, IPR2014-00281, IPR2014-00289, and IPR2014-00291, and an order requiring Petitioner to identify a single lead counsel authorized to speak on its behalf and a backup counsel designated to speak for Petitioner and lead counsel. If Petitioner opposes joinder or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“the Board”) denies this motion, then Patent Owner requests that the Board deny each of the five petitions filed by Petitioner with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,324,463 (the “463 Patent”), because Petitioner has failed to comply with § 42.10(a) and has violated, and admits it will continue to violate, the “one petitioner, one voice” rule.

## II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. On December 20, 2013, Subaru of America, Inc. (“Subaru”), Toyota Motor North America, Inc. (“Toyota”), American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (“Honda”), Ford Motor Company (“Ford”), Jaguar Land Rover North America LLC (“Jaguar”), Volvo Cars of North America LLC (“Volvo”), and Nissan North America Inc. (“Nissan”) (collectively “Petitioner”) filed a first petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1–5, 12–16, 18–21, 23, 25–31, and 34–36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,324,463 (the “‘463 Patent”). IPR2014-00279, Paper 1, p. 1.
2. On December 20, 2013, Petitioner filed a second petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-5, 12-16, 18, 19, 21, 25-28, and 34-36 of the ‘463 Patent. IPR2014-00280, Paper 1, p. 6.
3. On December 20, 2013, Petitioner filed a third petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-5, 12-31, and 34-36 of the ‘463 Patent. IPR2014-00281, Paper 1, p. 1.
4. On December 23, 2013, Petitioner filed a fourth petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-5, 12-15, 18-20, 25-28, and 34-36 of the ‘463 Patent. IPR2014-00289, Paper 1, p. 1.

5. On December 23, 2013, Petitioner filed a fifth petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-5, 12-16, 18-19, 21, 25-31, and 34-36 of the '463 Patent. IPR2014-00291, Paper 1, p. 1.
6. All five petitions filed by Petitioner challenge at least claims 1-5, 12-15, 18-19, 25-28 and 34-36 of the '463 Patent.
7. All five petitions filed by Petitioner identify the same four claim terms for construction and propose the same constructions. IPR2014-00279, Paper 1, pp. 7-9; IPR2014-00280, Paper 1, pp. 11-13; IPR2014-00281, Paper 1, pp. 10-12; IPR2014-00289, Paper 1, pp. 8-10; IPR2014-00291, Paper 1, pp. 12-14.
8. Petitioner has filed declarations of David A. McNamara in support of its petitions for *inter partes* review in IPR2014-00279 (IPR2014-00279, Ex. 1007); IPR2014-00289 (IPR2014-00289, Ex. 1012); and IPR2014-00291 (IPR2014-00291, Ex. 1010).
9. Matthew D. Satchwell (Reg. No. 58,870) is identified as Lead Counsel for Petitioner in IPR2014-00279. IPR2014-00279, Paper 1, p. 3-4. Mr. Satchwell is identified as Backup Counsel for Petitioner in IPR2014-00280 (IPR2014-00280, Paper 1, p. 2-3); IPR2014-00281 (IPR2014-00281, Paper 1, p. 2-3); IPR2014-00289

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.