

Patent No. 7,917,843  
Petition For *Inter Partes* Review

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Apple Inc., Google Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC  
Petitioners

v.

Arendi S.A.R.L.  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,917,843  
Issue Date: March 29, 2011  
Title: METHOD, SYSTEM AND COMPUTER READABLE MEDIUM FOR  
ADDRESSING HANDLING FROM A COMPUTER PROGRAM

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.***

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION .....	3
III. SUMMARY OF THE '843 PATENT.....	4
A. Background Of The '843 Patent .....	4
B. Prosecution History Of The '843 Patent.....	5
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	6
A. “An Input Device, Configured By The First Computer Program” .....	7
B. Remaining Claim Terms .....	7
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....	7
VI. GROUND 1: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-44 IN VIEW OF LIVEDOC/DROP ZONES .....	9
A. Background Of LiveDoc/Drop Zones.....	9
B. Method Claims .....	11
C. Computer Readable Medium Claims .....	22
VII. GROUND 2: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-44 IN VIEW OF MILLER.....	23
A. Background Of Miller .....	23
B. Method Claims .....	25
C. Computer Readable Medium Claims .....	35
VIII. GROUND 3: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-7, 10-29, AND 32-44 IN VIEW OF LUCIW .....	35
A. Background Of Luciw .....	35
B. Method Claims .....	37
C. Computer Readable Medium Claims .....	47
IX. GROUND 4: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1, 2, 8, 14-17, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 36-39, 42, AND 43 IN VIEW OF PANDIT.....	48
A. Method Claims .....	49

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
B. Computer Readable Medium Claims .....	55
X. CONCLUSION.....	55

**Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843**

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
<i>U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843 to Hedloy</i>	1001
<i>Declaration of Dr. Daniel A. Menascé</i>	1002
<i>Amendment in prosecution of '854 patent dated January 24, 2008</i>	1003
<i>Office Action in prosecution of '843 patent dated October 28, 2010</i>	1004
<i>Applicant's response in prosecution of '843 patent dated December 8, 2010</i>	1005
<i>SIGCHI Bulletin (April 1998) at 51-63</i>	1006
<i>U.S. Patent No. 5,946,647 to Miller et al.</i>	1007
<i>U.S. Patent No. 5,644,735 to Luciw et al.</i>	1008
<i>U.S. Patent No. 5,859,636 to Pandit</i>	1009
<i>SIGCHI Bulletin (April 1998) at 51-63 (web version)</i>	1010

Petitioners Apple Inc., Google Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC (collectively, “Petitioners”) respectfully petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843 (“the '843 patent” (Ex. 1001)) in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

## I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Apple Inc. (“Apple”) is the real party-in-interest for Petitioner Apple. Google Inc. (“Google”) is the real party-in-interest for Petitioner Google. Motorola Mobility LLC (“Motorola Mobility”) is the real party-in-interest for Petitioner Motorola Mobility.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioners identify the following related matters. On November 29, 2012, the Patent Owner filed suit against Apple and Motorola Mobility, among others, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging infringement of several patents, including the '843 patent. *See Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 1:12-cv-01596-LPS (D. Del.); *Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Motorola Mobility LLC*, Case No. 1:12-cv-01601-LPS (D. Del.). The Complaint was served on Motorola Mobility on November 30, 2012 and on Apple on December 3, 2012. Thus, this Petition has been filed within one year of Apple and Google (which owns Motorola Mobility) being served a complaint alleging infringement of the '843 patent. 35 U.S.C. § 315(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.