Filed on behalf of: BONUTTI SKELETAL INNOVATIONS LLC Paper ___

Date: August 6, 2014

By: Cary Kappel, Lead Counsel

William Gehris, Backup Counsel

Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC

485 Seventh Avenue

New York, NY 10018

Telephone (212) 736-1257

(212) 736-2015

Facsimile (212) 736-2427

E-mail: ckappel@ddkpatent.com

wgehris@ddkpatent.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZIMMER HOLDNGS, INC. and ZIMMER, INC.

Petitioner,

V.

BONUTTI SKELETAL INNOVATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2014-00191

Patent 7,837,736

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120



Case: IPR2014-00191 Patent 7,837,736

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	1
II. The '736 Patent	2
III. Claims 21, 22, And 31 Of The '736 Patent Are Not Anticipated	
Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) By Walker	6
A. Walker	6
B. Walker Does Not Disclose The Pin/Hole Limitations Of Claim 22	
Or The Post/Cavity Limitations Of Claim 31	7
C. Walker Does Not Disclose The Rotation/Rotational Limitations	
Of Claims 21 And 31	18
D. Claims 32-36	20
IV Conclusion	21

Case: IPR2014-00191 Patent 7,837,736

Table of Authorities

Cases

Ex parte Cramer, 1937 Pat. App. LEXIS 98; 36 U.S.P.Q. 77 (Pat. App. 1937)17
Ex parte David E. Anderson, Appeal 2011-012922, 2013 Pat. App. LEXIS 7274
(Pat. App. 2013)16
Ex parte David Shafer et al., Appeal 2009-000868, 2010 Pat. App. LEXIS 15638
(Pat. App. 2009)19
Ex parte Kevin Stones et al, Appeal 2011-004426, 2013 Pat. App. LEXIS 4068
*10-11
Ex parte YI YANG et al., Appeal 2009-006608, 2009 Pat. App. LEXIS 9281, * 15
(Pat. App. 2009)16
<u>In re Bond</u> , 910 F.2d 831, 833 (Fed. Cir. 1990)
<u>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007)11</u>
<u>In re Sneed</u> , 710 F.2d 1544, 1548 (Fed. Cir. 1983)
<u>In re Suitco Surface Inc.</u> , 603 F.3d 1255, 1259 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
Joy MM Delaware, Inc. v. Cincinnati Mine Machinery, Co., 497 Fed. Appx. 970,
973, 2012 U.S. App. LEXIS 23027 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
<u>Karsten Mfg. Corp. v. Cleveland Golf Co.</u> , 242 F.3d 1376, 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2001)
6, 10



Case: IPR2014-00191

Patent 7,837,736

Marquip, Inc. v. Fosber Am., 1998 U.S. App. LEXIS 10200 (Fed. Cir. Ma	ay 19,
1998)	19
Sunbeam Products, Inc, v. Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc., 2010 U.S. Dist. I	LEXIS
85281, *19 (E.D. Va. 2010)	16
Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631 (Fed. C	Cir. 1987)
	6
Versata Development Group, Inc., v. Sap America, Inc., Appeal No. 2014	-1194
	11



Case: IPR2014-00191

Patent 7,837,736

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(8) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.120, Patent Owner Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC ("Bonutti") responds to the Corrected Petition filed by Zimmer Holdings, Inc. and Zimmer, Inc. ("Zimmer") concerning claims 15-22, 26-28, and 31-36 of U.S. Patent No. 7,837,736 ("the '736 patent") (Paper 8).

In the Corrected Petition, the Petitioner sought inter partes review with respect to five separate grounds of unpatentability: (1) anticipation of claims 15-22, 25-28 and 31-36 by U.S. Patent No. 5,755,801 to Walker ("Walker"); (2) obviousness of claims 15-22, 25-28 and 31-36 over Walker in view of U.S. Patent 6,319,283 to Insall ("Insall '283") and/or U.S. Patent 6,068,658 to Insall ("Insall '658"); (3) obviousness of claims 23 and 24 over Walker in view of Insall '658 and/or Insall '283; (4) anticipation of claims 15-16, 18-28, 31 and 34-36 by Insall '658; and (5) anticipation of claims 15-16, 18-22, 25-28, 31 and 34-36 by Insall '283.

Of the three anticipation grounds and two obviousness grounds, the Board instituted review <u>solely</u> with respect to Ground 1: anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by Walker, and <u>only</u> with respect to claims 15-22, 26-28, and 31-36. (<u>See</u> Institution Decision, Paper 12, page 17).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

