Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

Paper No. 38 Entered: February 6, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - -

ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC. and ZIMMER, INC.

Petitioners

vs.

BONUTTI SKELETAL INNOVATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

- - - - - - -

Case No. IPR2014-00191

Patent No. 7,837,736

Technology Center 3700

- - - - - -

Oral Hearing Held: Friday, January 9, 2015

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and RICHARD E. RICE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, January 9, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., in Hearing Room D, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Patent No. 7,837,736 Technology Center 3700

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

NAVEEN MODI, ESQ. JOSEPH E. PALYS, ESQ. PAROMITA (MITA) CHATTERJEE, ESQ. Paul Hastings LLP 875 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-551-1700

MATTHEW B. SKAGGS, ESQ. Senior Patent Counsel Zimmer 1800 West Center Street Warsaw, Indiana 46580 574-371-8822

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

DOCKET

CARY S. KAPPEL, ESQ. DAVID PETROFF, ESQ. Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 Seventh Avenue 14th Floor New York, New York 10018 212-736-1940

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE SAINDON: Good morning. Please be
4	seated. We are here today for a hearing on IPR2014-00191.
5	We have allotted one-half hour per side.
6	We will start with the Petitioner. If you would
7	like to reserve some time, please let me know.
8	MR. MODI: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like
9	to reserve 10 minutes.
10	Good morning. May it please the Board, Naveen
11	Modi on behalf of Zimmer. As the Board knows, this
12	proceeding involves the '736 patent. The Board instituted
13	review based on the petition and supporting evidence filed by
14	Zimmer.
15	The record now contains even more evidence that
16	supports the Board's decision, so we submit it is now time for
17	the Board to enter its final decision cancelling all of the
18	claims at issue. Let me explain why.
19	So the '736 patent is generally directed to surgical
20	devices and methods. The claims at issue are directed to joint
21	replacement devices, or any arthroplasty device, and I will
22	show you the claims in a minute.
23	Both parties rely on figure 90 as support for
24	certain features. So I figured we would start with that today.

Patent No. 7,837,736 Technology Center 3700

1	So if you look at figure 90 and this is from the
2	'736 patent what it shows us is a knee implant 1290. The
3	knee implant 1290 includes a femoral component that's not
4	shown here but that is secured to the femur. And it includes a
5	tibial component that is shown here, 1292.
6	The tibial component includes two subcomponents,
7	a bearing insert 1296 and a tray 1294. The tray 1294, as the
8	Board can see, includes a post 1306, and then the bearing
9	insert 1296 includes a recess 1308.
10	Now, if you look at the patent what it tells us
11	about those two elements is shown on the right. It is very
12	brief. What it says is: "Superior surface 1302" so that's the
13	surface on the tray "is provided with a post 1306 that
14	cooperates with a recess 1308 located on bearing insert 1296
15	to permit rotation of bearing insert 1296 with respect to tibial
16	tray 1294."
17	The patent also says and you can see that at the
18	bottom it recognizes that knee implants were well known in
19	the prior art. And one of those references is the one that is at
20	issue here. It's the Walker patent.
21	So looking at the procedural history of this case,
22	the Board instituted review of claims 15 through 22, 26
23	through 28, 31 through 36, based on Walker.
24	The Board was very clear in its institution
25	decision. It said: "Having reviewed Petitioner's assertions

4

Patent No. 7,837,736 Technology Center 3700

and the cited portions of the record, we are persuaded that
 Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of
 prevailing on its contention that Walker anticipates claims 15
 through 22, 26 through 28, and 31 through 36 of the '736
 patent."

In response the Patent Owner filed a statutory
disclaimer with respect to claims 15 through 20 and 26
through 28. So the only claims that remain at issue are claims
21, 22 and 31 through 36. And I will spend the rest of the
time talking about those claims.

11 So if we turn to claims 21 and 22 -- and we are on 12 slide 7 now -- if you turn to claims 21 through 22 they depend 13 from claim 15. And that's the reason you see claim 15 there 14 even though it has been disclaimed.

15 So if you look at claim 15, it is a device to replace 16 an articulating surface of a first side of the joint. And it 17 recites a bunch of elements. One of them is the base 18 component, a base component. So that would be similar to the 19 tray that is shown here in figure 90.

You have a movable component that would be similar to the bearing insert that is shown in figure 90. Then you have a protrusion on the base sliding side or the movable sliding side. So, again, that would be this post 1306. And then you have a recess sized to receive said protrusion, and that would be element 1308, similar to element 1308.

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.