

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
95/001,270	12/08/2009	7188180	077580-0090	2128	
** **	23630 7590 06/16/2010 McDermott Will & Emery			EXAMINER	
600 13th Street, NW			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3992		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patents and Trademark Office P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Date:

MAILED

JUN 16 2010

CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester Inter Partes Reexamination

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO.: 95001270

PATENT NO.: 7188180

TECHNOLOGY CENTER: 3999

ART UNIT: 3992

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified Reexamination proceeding. 37 CFR 1.903.

Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each time the patent owner responds to this communication, the third party requester of the inter partes reexamination may once file written comments within a period of 30 days from the date of service of the patent owner's response. This 30-day time period is statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such, it cannot be extended. See also 37 CFR 1.947.

If an ex parte reexamination has been merged with the inter partes reexamination, no responsive submission by any ex parte third party requester is permitted.

All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of the communication enclosed with this transmittal.

PTOL-2070(Rev.07-04)



	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination				
ACTION CLOSING PROSECUTION	95/001,270	7188180				
(37 CFR 1.949)	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	ANDREW Ļ. NALVEN	3992				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Responsive to the communication(s) filed by: Patent Owner on 19 April 2010 Third Party(ies) on 18 May 2010						
Patent owner may once file a submission under 37 CFR 1.951(a) within 1 month(s) from the mailing date of this Office action. Where a submission is filed, third party requester may file responsive comments under 37 CFR 1.951(b) within 30-days (not extendable- 35 U.S.C. § 314(b)(2)) from the date of service of the initial submission on the requester. Appeal cannot be taken from this action. Appeal can only be taken from a Right of Appeal Notice under 37 CFR 1.953.						
All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of this Office action.						
PART I. THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:						
 Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892 ✓ Information Disclosure Citation, PTO/SB/08 ✓ 						
PART II. SUMMARY OF ACTION:						
1a. ⊠ Claims <u>1,4,10,12-15,17,20,26,28-31,33 and 35</u> are subject to reexamination.						
1b. 🖂 Claims <u>2,3,5-9,11,16,18,19,21-25,27,32,34 and 36-41</u> are not subject to reexamination.						
2. Claims have been canceled.						
 3. Claims 1, 4, 10, 12-15, 17, 20, 26, 28-31, 33, and 35 are confirmed. [Unamended patent claims] 4. Claims are patentable. [Amended or new claims] 						
5. Claims are patentable. [Amended of New Claims]						
6. Claims are objected to.						
7. The drawings filed on are acceptable are not acceptable.						
8						
9 Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d). The certified copy has: been received. not been received. been filed in Application/Control No						
10. Other						
•						



Application/Control Number: 95/001,270 Page 2

Art Unit: 3992

ACTION CLOSING PROSECUTION

This Action Closing Prosecution is responsive to the amendment and arguments filed by the patent owner on April 19, 2010 and the notice of non-participation filed by Third Party Requestor on May 18, 2010.

Receipt of Papers

- 1. On April 19, 2010, Patent Owner filed a response to the 1/19/2010 office action.
- 2. On May 18, 2010, Third Party Requestor ("Requestor") filed a notice of non-participation in the present *inter partes* reexamination. The notice indicated that no response to the 1/19/2010 office action would be submitted by the Requestor and that the Requestor will not be further participating in this proceeding.

Rejections Proposed by Requestor - Previously Adopted, Now Not Adopted

- 3. Requestor proposed that claims 1, 10, 12, 14, 17, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 33 be rejected under 35 US C 102(a) as being anticipated by Aventail. This proposed rejection was adopted in the first Office action mailed on 1/19/2010. However, upon consideration of the remarks submitted by Patent Owner, this proposed rejection is hereby withdrawn and <u>not adopted</u> for the following reasons.
- 4. Patent owner argues that the rejection of claims 1, 10, 12, 14, 17, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 33 as anticipated by Aventail should be withdrawn because Aventail is not prior art to the patent under reexamination, US Patent No. 7,188,180 ("the '180 patent"). Specifically, Patent Owner argued that the request and the 1/19/2010 office action did not show that Aventail was published



Application/Control Number: 95/001,270 Page 3

Art Unit: 3992

prior to the priority date of the '180 patent. The request asserts that Aventail was published between 1996 and 1999. This assertion was based on the document's copyright date. The request did not set forth any further evidence of the date of publication.

- 5. A search was conducted to determine the publication date of the Aventail reference. However, no evidence was found that established the publication date. Accordingly, Aventail cannot be relied upon as prior art to the '180 patent and all rejections based upon Aventail are hereby withdrawn and not adopted.
- 6. Further, Patent Owner argues that the '180 patent clearly distinguishes the claimed "secure domain name" from a domain name that happens to correspond to a secure computer. Patent Owner's argument is persuasive. The Examiner agrees that the '180 patent distinguishes the claimed "secure domain name." For example, the '180 patent explains that a secure domain name is a non-standard domain name and that querying a convention domain name server using a secure domain name will result in a return message indicating that the URL is unknown ('180 patent. column 51 lines 25-35). Similarly, Patent Owner argues that the '180 patent clearly distinguishes the claimed "secure domain name service" from a conventional domain name service that can resolve domain names of computers that are used to establish secure connections. Patent Owner's argument is persuasive. The Examiner agrees that the '180 patent distinguishes the claimed "secure domain name service." For example, the '180 patent explains that a secure domain name service can resolve addresses for a secure domain name whereas a conventional domain name service cannot resolve addresses for a secure domain name ('180 patent, column 51 lines 25-35).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

