

Filed on behalf of: RPX Corporation

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: July 14, 2014

By: Oliver R. Ashe, Jr., Esq.  
**ASHE, P.C.**  
11440 Isaac Newton Sq. North  
Suite 210  
Reston, VA 20190  
Tel.: (703) 467-9001  
Fax: (703) 467-9002  
E-mail: [oashe@ashepc.com](mailto:oashe@ashepc.com)

Gregory M. Howison  
**HOWISON & ARNOTT, LLP**  
Lincoln Centre II  
5420 LBJ Freeway, Suite 660  
Dallas, Texas 75240  
Tel.: (972) 680-6050  
Fax: (972) 479-0464  
E-mail: [ghowison@dalpat.com](mailto:ghowison@dalpat.com)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RPX CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION  
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00174  
Patent 7,921,211

---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXPUNGE**

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. Statement of Precise Relief Request Under § 42.22(a)(1) .....	1
II. Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested Under § 42.22(a)(2) .....	1
A. Procedural Background .....	1
B. Expungement of All Documents Filed under Seal.....	3
C. Alternative Expungement of Certain Exhibits and Information .....	6
1. Exhibit 2046 (Membership Agreement) .....	6
2. Exhibit 2047 (RPX-Sidley Austin Engagement Letter) .....	7
3. Exhibit 2051 (E-mail Dated October 9, 2013 and Attachments).....	7
4. Exhibit 2058 (E-mail Dated October 22, 2013).....	8
5. Paper 36 (Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response).....	8
6. Paper 47 (Petitioner’s Response to Board’s March 17, 2014, Order) .....	9
7. Paper 50 (Decision – Denial of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review).....	9
8. Exhibit 2045 (Petitioner’s Response to Discovery).....	10
9. Exhibits 2048-50, 2052-57, 2060 (E-mail Correspondence)....	11
10. Citations to Expunged Exhibits .....	13
III. Conclusion .....	13

## PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXPUNGE

### I. Statement of Precise Relief Request Under § 42.22(a)(1)

Petitioner RPX Corporation (“RPX”) moves to expunge from the record all documents (exhibits, pleadings, and orders) that have been filed under seal in this proceeding. Alternatively, in the event such relief is not granted, RPX moves to expunge from the record in their entirety Exhibits 2046, 2047, 2051, 2058 and certain information contained in Papers 36, 47, 50, and Exhibits 2045, 2048-2050, 2052-2057, 2060 (for which redacted versions are submitted herewith).

RPX has conferred with VirnetX, Inc. (“VirnetX”) and Apple Inc. (“Apple”) prior to filing this motion. The parties’ positions are delineated below. VirnetX opposes RPX’s motion in certain respects, but has represented that it does not intend to file a separate opposition.

### II. Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested Under § 42.22(a)(2)

#### A. Procedural Background

RPX’s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review was accompanied by a motion to seal two exhibits submitted with the Petition. Thereafter, with the Board’s approval, RPX and Apple reviewed and redacted various documents (*e.g.*, telephonic transcripts, pleadings, exhibits, and orders) containing information considered to be confidential by RPX and/or Apple. After consultation with VirnetX, redacted versions of the documents became publicly available on the PRPS. Therefore, this document review process throughout the proceeding has

generated two categories of documents: 1) unredacted versions designated for access by the "Parties and Board Only" and 2) corresponding redacted versions designated for public access. *See, e.g.*, Exhibits 2001, 1084-1086; Exhibit 1087, page 31, line 22 to page 35, line 18.

In the "Decision - Denial of Institution of *Inter Partes* Review" (Paper 50), the Board stated the following:

This Decision is sealed due to protected material asserted by the parties. After receiving the Decision, the parties jointly may request a redacted version of the Decision. After consideration of the joint request, or, if no request is filed, the Board will issue a subsequent public Decision. [Paper 50, p. 2, footnote 2].

In accordance with the above-identified instructions in the Decision, RPX, VirnetX and Apple jointly submitted a proposed redacted version of the Decision which included redactions proposed by Apple and RPX and to which VirnetX had no objection. (Paper 53).

In the "Order – Conduct of the Proceedings" (Paper 54), the Board stated the following:

The Board has reviewed the proposed redacted decision and holds that it strikes the appropriate balance between the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and

the parties' interest in protecting sensitive information. Accordingly, the Board enters the redacted decision and orders that the redacted decision be made available to the public. [Paper 54, p. 2].

RPX submits that the information in the redacted version of the Decision entered by the Board in Paper 54 is commensurate in form and substance to the information redacted by RPX and/or Apple in previously submitted documents.

During a conference call on June 10, 2014, the parties and the Board discussed a procedure whereby RPX, VirnetX and Apple would confer prior to filing this motion to expunge, thereby providing Apple an opportunity to propose expungement of confidential information and providing VirnetX an opportunity to consider any opposition to the motion. (Exhibit 1089, page 24, line 21 to page 26, line 7).

**B. Expungement of All Documents Filed under Seal**

Apple has proposed that all the documents that are currently under seal (*i.e.*, the unredacted documents presently designated for access by the "Parties and Board Only") should be expunged. Apple believes that expungement of those documents would strike the appropriate balance between maintaining a complete and relevant file history and Apple's interest in protecting its sensitive information. Further, Apple maintains that this position is consistent with the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.