Paper No			
Filed:	March	25,	2014

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By: Joseph E. Palys
Naveen Modi
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5675

Telephone: 571-203-2700 Facsimile: 202-408-4400

E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00174 Patent 7,921,211

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc.'s Current List of Exhibits



Exhibit	Description	Previously
No.		Submitted
2001	Confidential Transcript of Teleconference of January 8, 2014	X
2002	Patent Owner's Requests for Production to RPX Corporation	X
2003	Patent Owner's Interrogatories to RPX Corporation	X
2004	Patent Owner's Requests for Production to Apple, Inc.	X
2005	Patent Owner's Request for Deposition of Apple, Inc.	X
2006	RPX Client Relations, http://www.rpxcorp.com/rpxclient-relations (last visited Jan. 23, 2014)	X
2007	RPX Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Mar. 11, 2013), available at http://ir.rpxcorp.com/secfiling.cfm?filingID=1509432-13-8	X
2008	RPX Why Join, http://www.rpxcorp.com/why-join-rpx (last visited Jan. 24, 2014)	X
2009	Final Judgment Against Apple in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10-CV-417 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 28, 2013)	X
2010	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00348, Paper No. 14 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2011	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00349, Paper No. 14 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2012	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00354, Paper No. 20 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2013	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00393, Paper No. 17 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X
2014	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00394, Paper No. 15 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X



Exhibit	Description	Previously
No. 2015	Decision Denvine Luter Deutes Deview Detition by Apple	Submitted X
2013	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00397, Paper No. 15 (Dec. 18,	Λ
	2013)	
2016	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple	X
	in Case No. IPR2013-00398, Paper No. 16 (Dec. 18,	
	2013)	
2017	Declaration of Michael Fratto Regarding U.S. Patent No.	X
	6,502,135 in Case No. IPR2013-00348 (Exhibit 1003)	
2018	Non-Party RPX Corporation's Objections and Responses	X
	to Subpoena Ad Testificandum and Duces Tecum in	
	VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Microsoft Corp., Case No. 6:13-cv-	
	351 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2014)	
2019	Non-Party RPX Corporation's Objections and Responses	X
	to Subpoena Ad Testificandum and Duces Tecum in	
	VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Apple Inc., Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 &	
2020	6:12-cv-855 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2014)	V
2020	Agreed Protective Order in VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Microsoft	X
2021	Corp., Case No. 6:13-cv-351 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 9, 2013) Agreed Protective Order in VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Apple	X
2021	Inc., Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 & 6:12-cv-855 (E.D. Tex.	Λ
	June 24, 2013)	
2022	Proof of Service for Plaintiff VirnetX Inc.'s Notice of	X
2022	Deposition and Subpoena Duces Tecum of New Bay	11
	Capital, LLC in Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 & 6:12-cv-855	
	(E.D. Tex. Jul. 15, 2013)	
2023	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2002: Patent Owner's	X
	Requests for Production to RPX Corporation	
2024	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2003: Patent Owner's	X
	Interrogatories to RPX Corporation	
2025	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2004: Patent Owner's	X
	Requests for Production to Apple, Inc.	
2026	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2005: Patent Owner's	X
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Request for Deposition of Apple, Inc.	
2027	Transcript of Teleconference of February 7, 2014	X



Exhibit	Description	Previously
No.	D. C. L. D. D. CHARD.	Submitted
2028	Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No.	X
	6,502,135 in RPX Corp. v. VirnetX, Inc., Inter Partes	
	Review No. IPR2014-00171, Paper No. 1, (Nov. 20,	
2020	2013)	37
2029	U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135	X
2030	McGraw-Hill Computer Desktop Encyclopedia (9th ed.	X
2021	2001)	***
2031	Glossary for the Linux FreeS/WAN Project	X
2032	Order in VirnetX v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al., No. 6:10-	X
	CV-417 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 4, 2012)	
2033	Memorandum Opinion and Order in VirnetX Inc. v. Mitel	X
	Networks Corp. et al., Case No. 6:11-CV-18 (E.D. Tex.	
	Aug. 1, 2012)	
2034	Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No.	X
	7,921,211, IPR2013-00378, (Nov. 12, 2013)	
2035	Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration of the	X
	Construction of the Term "Secure Communication Link"	
	in VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 6:10-CV-417	
	(E.D. Tex. June 21, 2012).)	
2036	Memorandum Opinion in VirnetX, Inc. v. Microsoft	X
	Corp., Case No. 6:07-CV-80 (E.D. Tex. July 30, 2009)	
2037	VirnetX's Second Amended Complaint in <i>VirnetX Inc.</i> v.	X
	Cisco Systems, Inc. et al., Case No. 6:10-CV-417 (E.D.	
	Tex. Apr. 5, 2011)	
2038	Order in Case Nos. IPR2013-00348, -00349, -00354,	X
	-00375, -00376, -00377, -00378, -00393, -00394, -00397,	
	and -00398 (Aug. 14, 2013)	
2039	New Bay Email to Office Titled "Request for	X
	authorization to terminate" in Case Nos. IPR2013-00375,	
	-00376, -00377, and -00378 (Oct. 18, 2013)	
2040	Apple Email to Office Titled "Apple response re:	X
	termination request" in Case Nos. IPR2013-00375,	
	-00376, -00377, and -00378 (Oct. 21, 2013)	



Exhibit	Description	Previously
No.		Submitted
2041	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	X
	Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00375, Paper No. 16 (Nov. 12, 2013)	
2042	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	X
2042	Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00376, Paper	Λ
	No. 17 (Nov. 12, 2013)	
2043	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in Inter Partes Review	X
	Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00377, Paper	
	No. 14 (Nov. 12, 2013)	
2044	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	X
	Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00378, Paper	
	No. 14 (Nov. 12, 2013)	
2045	Petitioner's Response to Discovery in Case No. IPR2014-	X
	00174 (Feb. 27, 2014)	
2046	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00001-00013	X
2047	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00014-00020	X
2048	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00021	X
20.0	14111104401011 24105 1\0.141100021	11
2049	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00022	X
2050	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00023-00024	X
2051	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00047-00079	X
2031	Tel 11 Toddetton Butes 110. Tel 11000 17 00079	11
2052	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00080-00081	X
2053	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00091-00095	X
2054	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00096-00100	X
	1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	- -
2055	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00101-00102	X
2056	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00121-00123	X



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

