

Paper No. _____
Filed: September 27, 2013

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.
By: Joseph E. Palys
Naveen Modi
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5675
Telephone: 571-203-2700
Facsimile: 202-408-4400
E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com
naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEW BAY CAPITAL, LLC
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00375
Patent 6,502,135

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135**

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction.....1
- II. The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an *Inter Partes* Review4
 - A. The Petition Fails to Comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)5
 - 1. New Bay Does Not Apply Its Proposed Constructions6
 - 2. The Proposed Grounds Rely Heavily on Expert Testimony Rather Than the Cited References9
 - B. The Board Should Not Institute Based on the Petition’s Redundant Grounds12
 - 1. Grounds 1 and 5, Grounds 2 and 6, and Grounds 3 and 7 Are Horizontally Redundant15
 - 2. Grounds 4 and 8 Are Vertically Redundant.....15
 - 3. Grounds 9-12 Are Vertically Redundant to Grounds 1-8.....16
- III. The Petition’s Claim Constructions Are Flawed and Should Be Rejected17
 - A. Overview of the ’135 Patent.....18
 - B. “Virtual Private Network (VPN)” (Claim 1)20
 - C. “Domain Name” (Claims 1 and 3)25
 - D. “Domain Name Service” (Construe as Part of “Domain Name Service (DNS) Request”)27
 - E. “Domain Name Service (DNS) Request” (Claims 1 and 3)29
 - F. “DNS Server” (Claim 8)30
 - G. “DNS Proxy Server” (Claim 8).....30
 - H. “Secure [Target] Web Site” (Claims 1, 3, and 8).....32

- I. “Web Site” (Construe as Part of “Secure [Target] Web Site”).....35
- J. “Target Computer” (Claims 1 and 7)36
- K. “Determining” (Claims 1 and 3)37
- L. “Client Computer” (Claims 1, 3, and 7).....38
- M. “Transparently Creating a Virtual Private Network (VPN)”
(Claim 1).....41
- N. “Automatically Initiating the VPN” (Claim 1)42
- O. “Passes Through the Request to a DNS Server” (Claim 8)43
- P. “Gatekeeper Computer” (Claim 7).....44
- Q. “Allocates VPN Resources” (Claim 7)45
- IV. If Trial Is Instituted, VirnetX Requests an 18-Month Schedule46
- V. Conclusion47

Table of Authorities

	Page(s)
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	26
<i>Electro Sci. Indus., Inc. v. Dynamic Details, Inc.</i> 307 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	42
<i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001 (Jan. 9, 2013) Paper No. 15	18, 24
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	24
<i>In re Zletz</i> , 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	17
<i>LaRose Indus., LLC v. Capriola Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00120 (July 22, 2013) Paper No. 20	13
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (Oct. 25, 2012) Paper No. 7	5, 12, 13, 16
<i>Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Mobile Scanning Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00093 (Apr. 29, 2013) Paper No. 28	18, 24
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	17, 28, 38
<i>Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 182 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	42
FEDERAL STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 311	3
35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1)	46

FEDERAL REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)17

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c).....46

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)5, 8

37 C.F.R. § 42.107 1

OTHER AUTHORITIES

M.P.E.P. § 2111.01(I)24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.