

Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 2401
Obsoletes: 1825
Category: Standards Track

S. Kent
BBN Corp
R. Atkinson
@Home Network
November 1998

Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction.....3
 - 1.1 Summary of Contents of Document.....3
 - 1.2 Audience.....3
 - 1.3 Related Documents.....4
- 2. Design Objectives.....4
 - 2.1 Goals/Objectives/Requirements/Problem Description.....4
 - 2.2 Caveats and Assumptions.....5
- 3. System Overview.....5
 - 3.1 What IPsec Does.....6
 - 3.2 How IPsec Works.....6
 - 3.3 Where IPsec May Be Implemented.....7
- 4. Security Associations.....8
 - 4.1 Definition and Scope.....8
 - 4.2 Security Association Functionality.....10
 - 4.3 Combining Security Associations.....11
 - 4.4 Security Association Databases.....13
 - 4.4.1 The Security Policy Database (SPD).....14
 - 4.4.2 Selectors.....17
 - 4.4.3 Security Association Database (SAD).....21
 - 4.5 Basic Combinations of Security Associations.....24
 - 4.6 SA and Key Management.....26
 - 4.6.1 Manual Techniques.....27
 - 4.6.2 Automated SA and Key Management.....27
 - 4.6.3 Locating a Security Gateway.....28

4.7 Security Associations and Multicast.....29

Kent & Atkinson Standards Track [Page 1]

RFC 2401 Security Architecture for IP November 1998

- 5. IP Traffic Processing.....30
 - 5.1 Outbound IP Traffic Processing.....30
 - 5.1.1 Selecting and Using an SA or SA Bundle.....30
 - 5.1.2 Header Construction for Tunnel Mode.....31
 - 5.1.2.1 IPv4 -- Header Construction for Tunnel Mode.....31
 - 5.1.2.2 IPv6 -- Header Construction for Tunnel Mode.....32
 - 5.2 Processing Inbound IP Traffic.....33
 - 5.2.1 Selecting and Using an SA or SA Bundle.....33
 - 5.2.2 Handling of AH and ESP tunnels.....34
- 6. ICMP Processing (relevant to IPsec).....35
 - 6.1 PMTU/DF Processing.....36
 - 6.1.1 DF Bit.....36
 - 6.1.2 Path MTU Discovery (PMTU).....36
 - 6.1.2.1 Propagation of PMTU.....36
 - 6.1.2.2 Calculation of PMTU.....37
 - 6.1.2.3 Granularity of PMTU Processing.....37
 - 6.1.2.4 PMTU Aging.....38
- 7. Auditing.....39
- 8. Use in Systems Supporting Information Flow Security.....39
 - 8.1 Relationship Between Security Associations and Data Sensitivity.40
 - 8.2 Sensitivity Consistency Checking.....40
 - 8.3 Additional MLS Attributes for Security Association Databases...41
 - 8.4 Additional Inbound Processing Steps for MLS Networking.....41
 - 8.5 Additional Outbound Processing Steps for MLS Networking.....41
 - 8.6 Additional MLS Processing for Security Gateways.....42
- 9. Performance Issues.....42
- 10. Conformance Requirements.....43
- 11. Security Considerations.....43
- 12. Differences from RFC 1825.....43
- Acknowledgements.....44
- Appendix A -- Glossary.....45
- Appendix B -- Analysis/Discussion of PMTU/DF/Fragmentation Issues....48
 - B.1 DF bit.....48
 - B.2 Fragmentation.....48
 - B.3 Path MTU Discovery.....52
 - B.3.1 Identifying the Originating Host(s).....53
 - B.3.2 Calculation of PMTU.....55
 - B.3.3 Granularity of Maintaining PMTU Data.....56
 - B.3.4 Per Socket Maintenance of PMTU Data.....57
 - B.3.5 Delivery of PMTU Data to the Transport Layer.....57
 - B.3.6 Aging of PMTU Data.....57
- Appendix C -- Sequence Space Window Code Example.....58
- Appendix D -- Categorization of ICMP messages.....60
- References.....63

Disclaimer.....	64
Author Information.....	65
Full Copyright Statement.....	66

1. Introduction

1.1 Summary of Contents of Document

This memo specifies the base architecture for IPsec compliant systems. The goal of the architecture is to provide various security services for traffic at the IP layer, in both the IPv4 and IPv6 environments. This document describes the goals of such systems, their components and how they fit together with each other and into the IP environment. It also describes the security services offered by the IPsec protocols, and how these services can be employed in the IP environment. This document does not address all aspects of IPsec architecture. Subsequent documents will address additional architectural details of a more advanced nature, e.g., use of IPsec in NAT environments and more complete support for IP multicast. The following fundamental components of the IPsec security architecture are discussed in terms of their underlying, required functionality. Additional RFCs (see Section 1.3 for pointers to other documents) define the protocols in (a), (c), and (d).

- a. Security Protocols -- Authentication Header (AH) and Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)
- b. Security Associations -- what they are and how they work, how they are managed, associated processing
- c. Key Management -- manual and automatic (The Internet Key Exchange (IKE))
- d. Algorithms for authentication and encryption

This document is not an overall Security Architecture for the Internet; it addresses security only at the IP layer, provided through the use of a combination of cryptographic and protocol security mechanisms.

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [Bra97].

1.2 Audience

The target audience for this document includes implementers of this IP security technology and others interested in gaining a general

background understanding of this system. In particular, prospective users of this technology (end users or system administrators) are part of the target audience. A glossary is provided as an appendix

Kent & Atkinson

Standards Track

[Page 3]

RFC 2401

Security Architecture for IP

November 1998

to help fill in gaps in background/vocabulary. This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the Internet Protocol, related networking technology, and general security terms and concepts.

1.3 Related Documents

As mentioned above, other documents provide detailed definitions of some of the components of IPsec and of their inter-relationship. They include RFCs on the following topics:

- a. "IP Security Document Roadmap" [TDG97] -- a document providing guidelines for specifications describing encryption and authentication algorithms used in this system.
- b. security protocols -- RFCs describing the Authentication Header (AH) [KA98a] and Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP) [KA98b] protocols.
- c. algorithms for authentication and encryption -- a separate RFC for each algorithm.
- d. automatic key management -- RFCs on "The Internet Key Exchange (IKE)" [HC98], "Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP)" [MSST97], "The OAKLEY Key Determination Protocol" [Orm97], and "The Internet IP Security Domain of Interpretation for ISAKMP" [Pip98].

2. Design Objectives

2.1 Goals/Objectives/Requirements/Problem Description

IPsec is designed to provide interoperable, high quality, cryptographically-based security for IPv4 and IPv6. The set of security services offered includes access control, connectionless integrity, data origin authentication, protection against replays (a form of partial sequence integrity), confidentiality (encryption), and limited traffic flow confidentiality. These services are provided at the IP layer, offering protection for IP and/or upper layer protocols.

These objectives are met through the use of two traffic security

protocols, the Authentication Header (AH) and the Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP), and through the use of cryptographic key management procedures and protocols. The set of IPsec protocols employed in any context, and the ways in which they are employed, will be determined by the security and system requirements of users, applications, and/or sites/organizations.

When these mechanisms are correctly implemented and deployed, they ought not to adversely affect users, hosts, and other Internet components that do not employ these security mechanisms for

Kent & Atkinson

Standards Track

[Page 4]

RFC 2401

Security Architecture for IP

November 1998

protection of their traffic. These mechanisms also are designed to be algorithm-independent. This modularity permits selection of different sets of algorithms without affecting the other parts of the implementation. For example, different user communities may select different sets of algorithms (creating cliques) if required.

A standard set of default algorithms is specified to facilitate interoperability in the global Internet. The use of these algorithms, in conjunction with IPsec traffic protection and key management protocols, is intended to permit system and application developers to deploy high quality, Internet layer, cryptographic security technology.

2.2 Caveats and Assumptions

The suite of IPsec protocols and associated default algorithms are designed to provide high quality security for Internet traffic. However, the security offered by use of these protocols ultimately depends on the quality of their implementation, which is outside the scope of this set of standards. Moreover, the security of a computer system or network is a function of many factors, including personnel, physical, procedural, compromising emanations, and computer security practices. Thus IPsec is only one part of an overall system security architecture.

Finally, the security afforded by the use of IPsec is critically dependent on many aspects of the operating environment in which the IPsec implementation executes. For example, defects in OS security, poor quality of random number sources, sloppy system management protocols and practices, etc. can all degrade the security provided by IPsec. As above, none of these environmental attributes are within the scope of this or other IPsec standards.

3. System Overview

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.