

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RPX CORPORATION  
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX INC.  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00171 (Patent 6,502,135)  
Case IPR2014-00172 (Patent 6,502,135)  
Case IPR2014-00173 (Patent 7,490,151)  
Case IPR2014-00174 (Patent 7,921,211)  
Case IPR2014-00175 (Patent 7,921,211)  
Case IPR2014-00176 (Patent 7,418,504)  
Case IPR2014-00177 (Patent 7,418,504)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and STEPHEN C. SIU,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

TIERNEY, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceedings  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

---

<sup>1</sup> This decision addresses an issue that is identical in each case. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. Unless otherwise authorized, the parties, however, are not authorized to use this heading style for any subsequent papers. Record citations herein refer to IPR2014-00171.

Case IPR2014-00171 (Patent 6,502,135); Case IPR2014-00172 (Patent 6,502,135);  
Case IPR2014-00173 (Patent 7,490,151); Case IPR2014-00174 (Patent 7,921,211);  
Case IPR2014-00175 (Patent 7,921,211); Case IPR2014-00176 (Patent 7,418,504);  
Case IPR2014-00177 (Patent 7,418,504)

As discussed during a June 13, 2014 conference call, the decision denying institution of the *inter partes* review stated that parties may request jointly that a redacted version of the decision be issued as the public decision.<sup>2</sup> The parties conferred regarding potential redactions and submitted a proposed redacted version of the decision. Paper 52.

The Board has reviewed the proposed redacted decision and holds that it strikes the appropriate balance between the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties' interest in protecting sensitive information. Accordingly, the Board enters the redacted decision and orders that the redacted decision be made available to the public.

---

<sup>2</sup> This Order summarizes the conference call. A more complete record may be found in the transcript, which is Ex. 1079.

Case IPR2014-00171 (Patent 6,502,135); Case IPR2014-00172 (Patent 6,502,135);  
Case IPR2014-00173 (Patent 7,490,151); Case IPR2014-00174 (Patent 7,921,211);  
Case IPR2014-00175 (Patent 7,921,211); Case IPR2014-00176 (Patent 7,418,504);  
Case IPR2014-00177 (Patent 7,418,504)

PETITIONER:

Oliver R. Ashe, Jr.  
ASHE, P.C.  
[oashe@ashepc.com](mailto:oashe@ashepc.com)

Gregory M. Howison  
HOWISON & ARNOTT, LLP  
[ghowison@dalpat.com](mailto:ghowison@dalpat.com)  
[admin@dalpat.com](mailto:admin@dalpat.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Joseph E. Palys  
Naveen Modi  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
[joseph.palys@finnegan.com](mailto:joseph.palys@finnegan.com)  
[naveen.modi@finnegan.com](mailto:naveen.modi@finnegan.com)