

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: March 25, 2014

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.  
By: Joseph E. Palys  
Naveen Modi  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,  
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.  
11955 Freedom Drive  
Reston, VA 20190-5675  
Telephone: 571-203-2700  
Facsimile: 202-408-4400  
E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com  
naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RPX CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00171  
Patent 6,502,135

---

**Patent Owner VirnetX Inc.'s Current List of Exhibits**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Previously Submitted</b>
2001	Confidential Transcript of Teleconference of January 8, 2014	X
2002	Patent Owner's Requests for Production to RPX Corporation	X
2003	Patent Owner's Interrogatories to RPX Corporation	X
2004	Patent Owner's Requests for Production to Apple, Inc.	X
2005	Patent Owner's Request for Deposition of Apple, Inc.	X
2006	<i>RPX Client Relations</i> , <a href="http://www.rpxcorp.com/rpxclient-relations">http://www.rpxcorp.com/rpxclient-relations</a> (last visited Jan. 23, 2014)	X
2007	RPX Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Mar. 11, 2013), <i>available at</i> <a href="http://ir.rpxcorp.com/secfiling.cfm?filingID=1509432-13-8">http://ir.rpxcorp.com/secfiling.cfm?filingID=1509432-13-8</a>	X
2008	<i>RPX Why Join</i> , <a href="http://www.rpxcorp.com/why-join-rpx">http://www.rpxcorp.com/why-join-rpx</a> (last visited Jan. 24, 2014)	X
2009	Final Judgment Against Apple in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10-CV-417 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 28, 2013)	X
2010	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00348, Paper No. 14 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2011	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00349, Paper No. 14 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2012	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00354, Paper No. 20 (Dec. 13, 2013)	X
2013	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00393, Paper No. 17 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X
2014	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00394, Paper No. 15 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Previously Submitted</b>
2015	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00397, Paper No. 15 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X
2016	Decision Denying <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by Apple in Case No. IPR2013-00398, Paper No. 16 (Dec. 18, 2013)	X
2017	Declaration of Michael Fratto Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135 in Case No. IPR2013-00348 (Exhibit 1003)	X
2018	Non-Party RPX Corporation's Objections and Responses to Subpoena <i>Ad Testificandum</i> and <i>Duces Tecum</i> in <i>VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:13-cv-351 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2014)	X
2019	Non-Party RPX Corporation's Objections and Responses to Subpoena <i>Ad Testificandum</i> and <i>Duces Tecum</i> in <i>VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 & 6:12-cv-855 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2014)	X
2020	Agreed Protective Order in <i>VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:13-cv-351 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 9, 2013)	X
2021	Agreed Protective Order in <i>VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 & 6:12-cv-855 (E.D. Tex. June 24, 2013)	X
2022	Proof of Service for Plaintiff VirnetX Inc.'s Notice of Deposition and Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i> of New Bay Capital, LLC in Case Nos. 6:11-cv-563 & 6:12-cv-855 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 15, 2013)	X
2023	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2002: Patent Owner's Requests for Production to RPX Corporation	X
2024	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2003: Patent Owner's Interrogatories to RPX Corporation	X
2025	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2004: Patent Owner's Requests for Production to Apple, Inc.	X
2026	Redacted Version of Exhibit 2005: Patent Owner's Request for Deposition of Apple, Inc.	X
2027	Transcript of Teleconference of February 7, 2014	X

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Previously Submitted</b>
2028	<i>McGraw-Hill Computer Desktop Encyclopedia</i> (9th ed. 2001)	X
2029	Glossary for the Linux FreeS/WAN Project	X
2030	Microsoft's Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:07-CV-80 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 20, 2009)	X
2031	Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Mitel Networks Corp., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:11-CV-18 (E.D. Tex. June 11, 2012)	X
2032	VirnetX's Opening Claim Construction Brief in <i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:07-CV-80 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 30, 2008)	X
2033	Memorandum Opinion and Order in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Mitel Networks Corp. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:11-CV-18 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 1, 2012)	X
2034	Office Action Response filed April 15, 2010, in Control No. 95/001,269	X
2035	VirnetX's Second Amended Complaint in <i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10-CV-417 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 5, 2011)	X
2036	Order in Case Nos. IPR2013-00348, -00349, -00354, -00375, -00376, -00377, -00378, -00393, -00394, -00397, and -00398 (Aug. 14, 2013)	X
2037	New Bay Email to Office Titled "Request for authorization to terminate" in Case Nos. IPR2013-00375, -00376, -00377, and -00378 (Oct. 18, 2013)	X
2038	Apple Email to Office Titled "Apple response re: termination request" in Case Nos. IPR2013-00375, -00376, -00377, and -00378 (Oct. 21, 2013)	X
2039	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00375, Paper No. 16 (Nov. 12, 2013)	X
2040	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00376, Paper No. 17 (Nov. 12, 2013)	X

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Previously Submitted</b>
2041	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00377, Paper No. 14 (Nov. 12, 2013)	X
2042	Judgment Terminating Proceeding in <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petition by New Bay in Case No. IPR2013-00378, Paper No. 14 (Nov. 12, 2013)	X
2043	Petitioner's Response to Discovery in Case No. IPR2014-00171 (Feb. 27, 2014)	X
2044	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00001-00013	X
2045	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00014-00020	X
2046	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00021	X
2047	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00022	X
2048	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00023-00024	X
2049	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00047-00079	X
2050	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00080-00081	X
2051	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00091-00095	X
2052	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00096-00100	X
2053	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00101-00102	X
2054	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00121-00123	X
2055	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00124-00126	X
2056	RPX Production Bates No. RPX00127	X
2057	Confidential Transcript of Teleconference of March 3, 2014	X

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.