

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEW BAY CAPITAL, LLC
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00375 (Patent 6,502,135 B1)

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, KARL D. EASTHOM,
and STEPHEN C. SIU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.73

On November 6, 2013, Petitioner New Bay Capital, LLC (“New Bay”) filed an unopposed motion to terminate this proceeding, representing that New Bay “is abandoning the contest.” Paper 15 at 1. New Bay’s abandonment of the contest is construed as a request for entry of adverse judgment. 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(4); *see*

also 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) (describing effects of “the same or substantially the same prior art or arguments previously . . . presented to the Office”).

This case is in the preliminary proceeding stage; no institution of a trial has been made.¹ Based on the facts of this case, it is appropriate to enter judgment.² Therefore, the motion to terminate the proceeding is *granted*.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that New Bay’s unopposed motion to terminate is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is *terminated*.

¹ A preliminary proceeding begins with the filing of a petition for instituting a trial and ends with a written decision as to whether a trial will be instituted. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

² A judgment means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

For PETITIONER:

Robert M. Asher

rasher@sunsteinlaw.com

Jeffrey T. Klayman

jklayman@sunsteinlaw.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Joseph E. Palys

joseph.palys@finnegan.com

Naveen Modi

naveen.modi@finnegan.com