UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

MACROSOLVE, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00140

Patent 7,822,816

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES*REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,822,816



PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES*REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,822,816

I. Introduction

Macrosolve, Inc. ("Patent Owner", hereinafter), the owner of the entire interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,822,816 (the "'816 Patent" hereinafter, Ex. 1) hereby tenders its Preliminary Response to a "Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent NO. 7,822,816" (Case PR20 14-00 140, the "Petition", hereinafter) which was filed by RPX Corporation (hereinafter, "Requestor" or "Petitioner") and mailed on or about November 11, 2013. As is explained in detail below, the '816 patent is currently being enforced in the Eastern District of Texas. It is believed that one or more parties that would be prohibited by statute from instituting the instant *Inter Partes Review* ("IPR") if it were brought in their own names are operating through RPX to circumvent the statute. As such, the instant Petition should be dismissed or stayed until discovery can be obtained from RPX with respect to the real party or parties in interest in this case. Further, in the alternative, Patent Owner demonstrates below that there is no reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail in establishing anticipation or obviousness of any of the challenged claims.

II. Background of the Case

Litigation Involving the Subject Patent

The Patent Owner is currently enforcing its patent in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The lead case is *Macrosolve, Inc., vs. Antenna Software, Inc., et al*, NO. 6:11-cv-287 MHS-KNM. Additionally, the following cases are currently pending: *MacroSolve, Inc. v. Carlson Hotels, Inc.* (6-13-cv-00666, 9/12/2013); *MacroSolve, Inc. v. Five*



Guys Enterprises, LLC (6-13-cv-00671, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Meetup, Inc. (6-13-cv-00674,9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. (6-13-cv-00667,9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Discover Financial Services, Inc. (6-13-cv-00669, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Home Box Office, Inc. (6-13-cv-00672, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Box, Inc. (6-13-cv-00665, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Dropbox, Inc. (6-13-cv-00670,9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. MediaFire, LLC (6-13-cv-00673, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. GEICO Insurance Agency, Inc. (6-12-cv-00074,2/17/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. newegg (6-12-cv-00046,1/30/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. American Airlines, Inc. (6-11-cv-00685,12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Antenna Software, Inc. (6-11-cv-00287,6/6/2011).

The following cases have been litigated and have all been dismissed for one reason or another: MacroSolve, Inc. v. Comcast Corp. (6-13-cv-00668, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Wyndham Hotel Group, LLC (6-13-cv-00675, 9/12/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. RueLaLa, Inc. (6-13-cv-00206,2/26/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Nordstrom, Inc. (6-13-cv-00204,2/26/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Pandora Media, Inc. (6-13-cv-00205, 2/26/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Staples, Inc. (6-13-cv-00207, 2/26/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. The Kroger Co.(6-13-cv-00203, 2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Gilt Groupe Holdings, Inc. (6-13-cv-00201,2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. GameStop Corp. (6-13-cv-00200, 2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. (6-13-cv-00202, 2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Fandango, Inc. (6-13-cv-00199,2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Costco Wholesale Corp. (6-13-cv-00198,2/25/2013); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Super Shuttle International Corp. (6-12-cv-00978, 12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Walgreen Co. (6-12-cv-00975,12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Home Depot USA, Inc. (6-12-cv-00976, 12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc. (6-12-cv-00980,12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Skymall, Inc. (6-12-cv-00977, 12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Skymall, Inc. (6-12-cv-00977, 12



Inc. v. Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc. (6-12-cv-00979,12/21/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v .Sears Holdings Management Corp. (6-12-cv-00916, 12/4/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc. (6-12-cv-00915, 12/4/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Starwood Hotels& Resorts Worldwide, Inc. (6-12-cv-00917,12/4/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC (6-12-cv-00744,10/5/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. American Express Co. (6-12-cv-00743, 10/5/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Fareportal, Inc. (6-12-cv-00416,6/26/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Target Corp. (6-12-cv-00418, 6/26/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. LQ Management LLC (6-12-cv-00417,6/26/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Kayak Software Corp. (6-12-cv-00388, 6/19/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Cumulus Media, Inc. (6-12-cv-00389,6/19/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Jet Blue Airways Corp. (6-12- cv-00387, 6/19/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. JP Morgan Chase & Co. (6-12-cv-00384,6/18/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp. (6-12-cv-00385, 6/18/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. MovieTickets.com, Inc. (6-12-cv-00194, 3/23/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Bank of America Corp. (6-12-cv-00193, 3/23/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. AOL Inc. (6-12-cv-00091,2/27/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Inter-Continental Hotels Corp. (6-12-cv-00092, 2/27/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v . Marriott International, Inc. (6-12-cv-00076,2/17/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (6-12-cv-00047, 1/30/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc. (6-12-cv-00044,1/30/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Hyatt Corp. (6-12-cv-00045, 1/30/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. YELP! INC. (6-12-cv-00048, 1/30/2012); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Hotels.com, L.P. (6-11-cv-00690, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Priceline.com Inc. (6-11-cv-00691, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Hipmunk, Inc. (6-11-cv-00689, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. United Air Lines, Inc. (6-11-cv-00694, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Continental Airlines, Inc. (6-11-cv-00687,12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Avis Rent A Car System, LLC (6-11-cv-00686,12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v.Travelocity.com LP (6-11-cv-



00693, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Southwest Airlines Co. (6-11-cv-00692, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. The Hertz Corp. (6-11-cv-00688, 12/21/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Whoop, Inc. (6-11-cv-00523, 10/3/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. AT&T Inc. (6-11-cv-00490, 9/15/2011); MacroSolve, Inc. v. Canvas Solutions, Inc. (6-11-cv-00194,4/18/2011); and MacroSolve, Inc. v. Brazos Technology Corp. (6-11-cv-00101,3/4/2011).

Ex Parte Reexamination

The '816 Patent is also the subject of *Ex Parte* Reexamination No. 90/012,829 filed April 3, 2013 by GEICO ("Reexamination"). A non-final Office action rejecting all claims was mailed in the reexamination on September 13, 2013. A response was filed by Patent Owner on or about November 13, 2013.

Pending Patent Application

A continuation application of the instant patent is currently pending in the U.S. Patent Office, to wit, App. No. 12/910,706. Currently, all claims in this application stand as rejected. A final rejection was mailed to Patent Owner/Applicant on or about April 9, 2013. A notice of appeal was filed on October 9, 2013.

III. Summary of Arguments and Action Requested

The instant *IPR* should be dismissed pending receipt of discovery in the Eastern District of Texas, which discovery is intended to determine the real parties of interest associated with the filing of the instant Petition. The '816 patent has been in litigation with numerous parties for over one year and there is concern that one or more of the parties in that litigation are using the Requestor as a surrogate to bring an IPR that they would be prohibited by statute (35 U.S.C. §



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

