

Exhibit 1006



(12) **United States Patent**
Smith et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,341,311 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 22, 2002**

(54) **DIRECTING DATA OBJECT ACCESS REQUESTS IN A DISTRIBUTED CACHE**

(75) Inventors: **Brian J. Smith**, Seattle; **Vinod V. Valloppillil**, Redmond, both of WA (US); **Hans Hurvig**, Copenhagen (DK)

(73) Assignee: **Microsoft Corporation**, Redmond, WA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/087,330**

(22) Filed: **May 29, 1998**

(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **G06F 13/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **709/226**

(58) **Field of Search** 709/201, 203, 709/217, 218, 219, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,341,499 A	8/1994	Doragh	709/321
5,539,883 A	7/1996	Allon et al.	709/105
5,603,029 A	2/1997	Aman et al.	709/105
5,612,865 A	3/1997	Dasgupta	364/184
5,623,585 A	4/1997	Bailey	395/182.04
5,649,093 A	7/1997	Hanko et al.	395/182.04
5,740,371 A *	4/1998	Wallis	709/229
5,774,660 A *	6/1998	Brendel et al.	709/201
5,787,470 A	7/1998	DiSimone et al.	711/124
5,805,824 A *	9/1998	Kappe	709/242
5,826,270 A *	10/1998	Rutkowski et al.	707/10
5,864,852 A	1/1999	Luotonen	707/10
8,918,013	6/1999	Mighdoll et al.	395/200.47
5,924,116 A	7/1999	Aggarwal et al.	711/122
5,933,606 A	8/1999	Mayhew	395/200.69
5,933,849 A	8/1999	Srblic et al.	711/118
5,935,207 A	8/1999	Logue et al.	709/219
5,940,594 A	8/1999	Ali et al.	395/200.33

(List continued on next page.)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Valloppillil, Vinod and Ross, Keith W. Cache Array Routing Protocol v1.0. World Wide Web. pp. 1-9.

Microsoft Corporation. Cache Array Routing Protocol and Microsoft Proxy Server 2.0. World Wide Web: www.microsoft.com. pp. 1-15.

Valloppillil, Vinod and Cohen, Josh. Hierarchal HTTP Routing Protocol. World Wide Web. pp. 1-7.

How to Make Distributed Proxy Server by URL Hasing, (last modified Apr. 1999, <http://naragw.sharp.co.jp/sps/>).

Briefing on Super Proxy Script, (last modified Aug. 1998), <http://naragw.sharp.co.jp/sps/sps-e.html>.


Primary Examiner—Moustafa M. Meky

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Workman, Nydegger & Seeley

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method, computer program product, and system for routing URL data object requests in a proxy server array. A URL data object request is received at one proxy server of the array while the desired URL data object resides in the local cache of another proxy server in the array. The receiving proxy server will deterministically identify the residing proxy server based on information residing thereon without resorting to expensive query-response transactions, such as those that occur in proxy server arrays using ICP. An array membership list containing array membership information is available at each and every proxy server and is used in conjunction with the URL as the information for identifying the correct proxy server where the URL data object resides. First, a deterministic hash value is computed for each proxy server name and the URL. Next, a combined hash value is computed that combines the URL hash value with each proxy server hash value. Finally, the proxy server with the highest "score" or combined hash value is identified as the proxy server where the desired URL data object should reside in local cache storage. Since the array membership list, the URL, and the hashing algorithm are the same at each proxy server, the same proxy server will be identified as having the URL data object regardless of which proxy server originally receives the URL data object request.

24 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,987,233 A	11/1999	Humphrey	395/200.47	6,026,405 A *	2/2000	Arda et al.	707/10
5,991,804 A	11/1999	Bolosky et al.	709/221	6,029,168 A	2/2000	Frey	707/10
5,991,809 A	11/1999	Kriegsman	709/226	6,029,195 A *	2/2000	Herz	725/116
6,006,251 A *	12/1999	Toyouchi et al.	709/203	6,052,718 A *	4/2000	Gifford	709/219
6,006,264 A	12/1999	Colby et al.	709/226	6,112,228 A *	8/2000	Earl et al.	709/205
6,014,667 A	1/2000	Jenkins et al.	707/10	6,122,666 A *	9/2000	Beurket et al.	709/226

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.