

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MACRONIX INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD., MACRONIX ASIA LIMITED,
MACRONIX (HONG KONG) CO., LTD. and MACRONIX AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners

v.

SPANSION LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00108
Patent Number 7,151,027

Before KRISTEN L. DROESCH, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
RICHARD E. RICE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Summary of the '027 Patent..... 4

III. Claim Construction 7

 A. “interface between a memory array and a periphery” (Claims 1, 8) 8

 B. “poly-2 layer” (Claims 1, 8) 9

 C. “stacked gate etch” (Claims 3, 9)..... 10

 D. “second gate etch” (Claims 4, 10) 13

IV. There is No Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Would Prevail on Its Contention that Yuzuriha, Alone or in Any Proposed Combinations, Discloses the Recited “Etching” Limitations and “Same Height” Limitations of Claims 3, 7, and 8-14 (Grounds 1-3)..... 15

 A. Yuzuriha Fails to Disclose the Required “Etching” Limitations of Claims 3 and 8-14 (Grounds 1-3) 15

 B. Yuzuriha Fails to Render Claims 7 and 14 Obvious in View of Nakagawa (Ground 3)..... 20

 C. Yuzuriha Fails to Render Claims 11 and 12 Obvious in View of Shukuri, and Fails to Render Claims 13 and 14 Obvious in View of Nakagawa (Grounds 2-3)..... 23

V. There is No Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Would Prevail on Its Contention that Shukuri, Alone or in Any Proposed Combinations, Discloses the Recited “Etching” Limitations and “Same Height” Limitations of Claims 3, 7, and 8-14 (Grounds 4-5)..... 24

 A. Shukuri Fails to Disclose the Required “Etching” Limitations of Claims 3 and 8-13 (Grounds 4-5) 24

 B. Shukuri Fails to Render Claims 7 and 14 Obvious in View of Nakagawa (Ground 5) 27

VI. There is No Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Would Prevail on Its Contention that Nakagawa, Alone or in Any Proposed Combinations, Discloses the “Interface” Limitations and “Same Height” Limitations of Claims 1-14 (Grounds 6-7)..... 28

 A. Nakagawa Fails to Disclose “Forming...At an Interface Between a Memory Array and a Periphery of Said Memory Device” (Ground 6)..29

B.	Nakagawa Fails to Disclose the “Same Height” Limitation of Claims 7 and 14 (Ground 6)	31
C.	Nakagawa Fails to Render Claims 5, 11, and 12 Obvious In View of Shukuri (Ground 7)	32
VII.	Conclusion	33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	7
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	2, 4, 27
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.107(c).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	2
77 Fed. Reg. 48680, 48694 (Aug. 14, 2012).....	2

EXHIBITS

EX2001	Second Joint Submission Regarding Proposed Construction of Disputed Terms, <i>In re Flash Memory Chips and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-893
--------	---

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.