

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Facebook, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,010,536

Filing Date: January 28, 1999

Issue Date: March 7, 2006

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CREATING AND MANIPULATING
INFORMATION CONTAINERS WITH DYNAMIC REGISTERS

Inter Partes Review No. _____

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| I. | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) | 1 |
| A. | Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) | 1 |
| B. | Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) | 1 |
| C. | Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) | 2 |
| D. | Service Information | 2 |
| E. | Power of Attorney..... | 3 |
| II. | PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 | 3 |
| III. | REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 | 3 |
| A. | Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)..... | 3 |
| B. | Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested..... | 3 |
| C. | Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) | 4 |
| IV. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS | 5 |
| V. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) | 8 |
| A. | Legal Overview..... | 8 |
| B. | “Container” | 8 |
| C. | “Register” | 9 |
| D. | “Gateway” | 10 |
| VI. | CLAIMS 15 AND 16 OF THE ’536 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE | 11 |
| A. | Ground 1 – Claims 15 and 16 Are Anticipated by Zhang | 11 |
| 1. | Zhang Anticipates Claim 15 of the ’536 Patent..... | 12 |
| a. | Claim 15[a] | 16 |
| b. | Claim 15[b] | 17 |
| c. | Claim 15[c] | 19 |
| d. | Claim 15[d] | 20 |
| e. | Claim 15[e] | 21 |
| f. | Claim 15[f]..... | 23 |
| 2. | Zhang Anticipates Claim 16 of the ’536 Patent..... | 24 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| B. | Ground 2 – Claim 15 Is Anticipated by Cooper | 28 |
| a. | Claim 15[a] | 32 |
| b. | Claim 15[b] | 34 |
| c. | Claim 15[c] | 36 |
| d. | Claim 15[d] | 38 |
| e. | Claim 15[e] | 40 |
| f. | Claim 15[f] | 43 |
| C. | Ground 3 – Claim 16 Is Obvious Over Cooper in View of Fortune and Veditz | 44 |
| VII. | CONCLUSION | 51 |

List of Exhibits

Exhibits Cited in this Petition

Exhibit 1101: U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536 to Michael De Angelo, including Certificate of Correction

Exhibit 1102: U.S. Patent No. 6,016,478 to Qili Zhang et al.

Exhibit 1103: U.S. Patent No. 5,737,416 to Thomas Cooper et al.

Exhibit 1004: U.S. Patent No. 6,073,090 to Erik Fortune et al.

Exhibit 1105: U.S. Patent No. 6,496,793 to Daniel Veditz et al.

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536

Petitioner Facebook, Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully petitions for *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 15 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536 (Ex. 1101) (“the ’536 patent”).

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)

A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

Petitioner, Facebook, Inc. is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)

The ’536 patent is the subject of litigation styled *Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.*, No. 13-CV-04202, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The patent owner, Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC, originally filed suit on October 17, 2012 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Facebook was served with process on October 23, 2012. The patent owner alleges that Facebook infringes claims of the ’536 patent. Facebook denies that it infringes and asserts that the patent is invalid. The matter was transferred to the Northern District of California on August 27, 2013.

The patent owner has filed eight other suits against other defendants for alleged infringement of the ’536 patent. *See Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 13-04201, *Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Foursquare Labs, Inc.*, No. 13-04203, *Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. GroupOn, Inc.*, No. 13-04204,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.