

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,010,536

Issued: March 7, 2006

Filed: January 28, 1999

Inventor: Michael De Angelo

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CREATING AND MANIPULATING
INFORMATION CONTAINERS WITH DYNAMIC REGISTERS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2014-00086

Declaration of Henry Houh Regarding

U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536

I, Henry Houh, do hereby declare and state, that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: October 22, 2013


Henry Houh

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Engagement	1
B.	Background and Qualifications	1
C.	Compensation and Prior Testimony	4
D.	Information Considered.....	5
II.	LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	6
A.	Anticipation	7
B.	Obviousness.....	8
III.	THE ‘536 PATENT	14
A.	Prosecution History Of The ‘536 Patent and Effective Filing Date of the ‘536 Patent.....	14
B.	The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	14
IV.	GENERAL ISSUES RELATED TO MY PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS.....	14
A.	The Claims of the ‘536 Patent I Am Addressing in this Report	15
B.	Interpretation of Certain Claim Terms	20
i.	Container	20
ii.	Register	21
iii.	Gateway	23
C.	Prior Art References	24
1.	Exhibit 1006 – U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent No. 5,836,529 to Gibbs.....	24
V.	PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS OF CLAIMS 2-14 AND 16 OF THE ‘536 PATENT IN VIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,836,529 (<u>GIBBS</u>).....	24
A.	Overview of <u>Gibbs</u>	25
B.	Comparison of <u>Gibbs</u> to Claims 2-14 and 16 of the ‘536 Patent	51
2.	Claim 2	51
3.	Claim 3	59
4.	Claim 4	60

5.	Claim 5	61
6.	Claim 6	62
7.	Claim 7	63
8.	Claim 8	63
9.	Claim 9	64
10.	Claim 10	66
11.	Claim 11	66
12.	Claim 12	68
13.	Claim 13	69
14.	Claim 14	69
15.	Claim 16	70
C.	CONCLUSION	72
VI.	APPENDIX A: MATERIALS CONSIDERED BY HENRY HOUH	73

TABLE OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: List of Materials Considered

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.