Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner,

v.

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,010,536

Issued: March 7, 2006

Filed: January 28, 1999

Inventor: Michael De Angelo

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CREATING AND MANIPULATING INFORMATION CONTAINERS WITH DYNAMIC REGISTERS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2014-00082

DECLARATION OF HENRY HOUH

DOCKET

Δ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION		1
A.	Engagement	1
B.	Background and Qualifications	1
C.	Compensation and Prior Testimony	4
D.	Information Considered	5
LEG	GAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	6
A.	Anticipation	7
B.	Obviousness	8
THE	E '536 PATENT	14
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '536 Patent	14
B.	Claims of the '536 Patent	14
C.	Terms Used in the '536 Patent Claims	18
	1. Container	18
	2. Register	19
	3. Gateway	21
D.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	22
CON	MPARISON OF PRIOR ART TO THE '536 PATENT	22
A.	U.S. Patent 6,496,872 (Ex. 1006)	22
	1. Overview of The '872 Patent	22
	2. Comparison of Claims 1, 3-15 of the '536 Patent to the '872 Patent	53
B.	U.S. Patent 5,902,352 (Ex. 1007)	75
	1. Overview of The '352 Patent	76
	2. Comparison of Claims 1, 3-15 of the '536 Patent to the '352 Patent	105
	 INT: A. B. C. D. THE A. B. C. D. CON A. B. 	 INTRODUCTION A. Engagement B. Background and Qualifications C. Compensation and Prior Testimony D. Information Considered LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY A. Anticipation B. Obviousness THE '536 PATENT A. Effective Filing Date of the '536 Patent B. Claims of the '536 Patent C. Terms Used in the '536 Patent Claims 1. Container 2. Register. 3. Gateway D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art COMPARISON OF PRIOR ART TO THE '536 PATENT A. U.S. Patent 6,496,872 (Ex. 1006) 1. Overview of The '872 Patent 2. Comparison of Claims 1, 3-15 of the '536 Patent to the '352 Patent 2. Comparison of Claims 1, 3-15 of the '536 Patent to the '352 Patent

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Engagement

I have been retained by counsel for Apple Inc. ("Apple") as an expert witness in the above-captioned proceeding. I have been asked to provide my opinion about the state of the art of the technology described in U.S. Patent No. 7,010,536 ("the '536 patent") and on the patentability of the claims of this patent. The following is my written declaration on these topics.

B. Background and Qualifications

 My Curriculum Vitae is submitted herewith as Exhibit 1004.
 I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1998. I also received a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science in 1991, a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science in 1990, and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Physics in 1989.

4. As further indicated in my C.V., I have worked in the electrical engineering and computer science fields, including web search and web server development, on several occasions. As part of my doctoral research at MIT from 1991-1998, I worked as a research assistant in the Telemedia Network Systems (TNS) group at the Laboratory for Computer Science. The TNS group built a high speed gigabit network and applications which ran over the network, such as remote video capture, processing, segmentation and search on computer terminals. In

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

addition to helping design the core network components, designing and building the high speed links, and designing and writing the device drivers for the interface cards, I also set up the group's web server, which at the time was one of the first several hundred web servers in existence.

5. I authored or co-authored twelve papers and conference presentations on our group's research. I also co-edited the final report of the gigabit networking research effort with the Professor (David Tennenhouse) and Senior Research Scientist of the group (David Clark), who is generally considered to be one of the fathers of the Internet Protocol.

6. I started building web servers in 1993, having set up the web server for the MIT Telemedia, Networks, and Systems Group, to which I belonged. It was one of the first several hundred web servers in existence, and went on to provide what was likely one of the first live Internet video session initiated from a web site. I co-authored papers on our web server video system and on databasebacked web sites for which I attended the first World Wide Web conference to present.

7. From 1997 to 1999, I was a Senior Scientist and Engineer at NBX Corporation, a start-up that made business telephone systems that streamed packetized audio over data networks instead of using traditional phone lines. NBX was later acquired by 3Com Corporation, and the phone system is still available and being used at tens of thousands of businesses or more. As part of my work at NBX, I designed the core audio reconstruction algorithms for the telephones, as well as the packet transmission algorithms. I also designed and validated the core packet transport protocol used by the phone system. The protocol is used millions of times daily currently. Two of the company founders and I received US Patent No. 6,697,963 titled "Telecommunication method for ensuring on-time delivery of packets containing time sensitive data," for some of the work I did there.

8. Starting in 2001, I was architect for the next generation of web testing product by Empirix known as e-Test Suite. e-Test Suite is now owned by Oracle Corporation. e-Test provided functional and load testing for web sites. e-Test emulated a user's interaction with a web site and provided web developers with a method of creating various scripts and providing both functional testing (e.g., did the web site provide the correct response) and load testing (e.g., could the web site handle 5000 users on its web site simultaneously). Among Empirix's customers was H&R Block, who used e-Test Suite to test the tax filing functionality of their web site as whether the web site could handle a large expected load prior to the filing deadline.

9. Around 2006, I helped create a search engine for audio and video which could be searched based on spoken word content. Our system used speech recognition and natural language processing to create a search index of audio and

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

