

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,702,682

Issued: April 20, 2010

Filed: November 14, 2005

Inventor: Michael De Angelo

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CREATING AND MANIPULATING
INFORMATION CONTAINERS WITH DYNAMIC REGISTERS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2014-00079

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW 4

 A. Certification the '682 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner..... 4

 B. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (§ 42.15(a))..... 4

 C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)) 5

 1. Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))..... 5

 2. Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2))..... 5

 3. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel..... 6

 4. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)) 6

 D. Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a)) 6

II. IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED (§ 42.104(B)) 6

III. RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT 7

 A. Effective Filing Date and Prosecution History of the '682 Patent 7

 B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art 8

 C. Construction of Terms Used in the Claims 8

 1. “container” 9

 2. “register” and “container register” 10

 3. “gateway” 11

 4. Analogous Sets of Independent Claims 12

IV. PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED 13

 A. Claims 1, 19 and 21 Are Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (Wachtel) 13

 B. Claim 23 is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (Wachtel) 16

 C. Claims 18, 20 and 22 Are Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (Wachtel) 19

 D. Ex. 1005 (Wachtel) Would Have Rendered Claims 18, 20 and 22 Obvious 23

 E. Claim 2 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (Wachtel) 24

F.	Claims 3 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	24
G.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1006 (<u>Culliss</u>) Would Have Rendered Claim 3 Obvious	25
H.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1008 (<u>Chang</u>) Would Have Rendered Claim 3 Obvious	26
I.	Claim 4 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	26
J.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1007 (<u>SavvySearch</u>) Would Have Rendered Claim 4 Obvious.....	27
K.	Claim 5 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	28
L.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1007 (<u>SavvySearch</u>) Would Have Rendered Claims 5 and 6 Obvious	28
M.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1008 (<u>Chang</u>) Would Have Rendered Claims 5 and 6 Obvious.....	29
N.	Claim 6 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	30
O.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) Would Have Rendered Obvious Claim 6	30
P.	Claim 7 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	31
Q.	Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>) in View of Ex. 1007 (<u>SavvySearch</u>) Would Have Rendered Claim 7 Obvious.....	31
R.	Claim 8 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	32
S.	Claim 9 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	33
T.	Claim 10 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	33
U.	Claim 11 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	34
V.	Claim 12 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	34
W.	Claim 13 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	35
X.	Claim 14 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	36
Y.	Claim 15 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	37
Z.	Claim 16 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	37
AA.	Claim 17 Is Anticipated by Ex. 1005 (<u>Wachtel</u>)	38
V.	CONCLUSION.....	38

Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,702,682

Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition

I. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

A. Certification the '682 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 7,702,682 (the '682 patent) (Ex. 1001) is available for *inter partes* review. Petitioner certifies that it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of the claims of the '682 patent on the grounds identified in this Petition. Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '682 patent. The '682 patent has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privy of Petitioner.

Petitioner certifies this petition for *inter partes* review is filed within one year of the date of service of a complaint alleging infringement of a patent. Petitioner was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '682 patent on October 23, 2012, which led to Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-04201-LB in the Northern District of California. Ex. 1011. As the date of this petition is less than one year from October 23, 2012, this petition complies with 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

B. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (§ 42.15(a))

The Director is authorized to charge the fee specified by 37 CFR § 42.15(a) to Deposit Account No. 50-1597.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.