

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent of: Farber et al.	§	
	§	
U.S. Patent No. 6,415,280	§	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review
	§	
Issued: November 2, 1999	§	Attorney Docket No.: 47015.131
	§	
Title: IDENTIFYING AND	§	Customer No.: 116298
REQUESTING DATA IN	§	
NETWORK USING	§	Real Parties
IDENTIFIERS WHICH ARE	§	in Interest: Rackspace US, Inc. and
BASED ON CONTENTS OF	§	Rackspace Hosting, Inc.
DATA	§	

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319, Rackspace US, Inc. and Rackspace Hosting, Inc. (“Petitioners”) hereby petitions the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to institute *inter partes* review of claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 31, 32, 33, 36 and 38 of US Patent No. 6,415,280 to Faber *et al.* (“the ‘280 Patent,” RACK-1001.) PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC and Level 3 Communications, LLC have stated, in filings in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of the Texas that they each own an undivided fifty percent (50%) interest in the ‘280 Patent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Mandatory Notices by Petitioner (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))1

 A. Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) 1

 B. Petitioner Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) 1

 C. Identification of Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and
 Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) 3

II. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....4

III. Statement of Precise Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a))4

IV. Overview of Challenges4

 A. Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) 4

 B. Summary of Central Argument that Challenged Claims are Unpatentable..6

 C. Threshold Showing of Reasonable Likelihood That Petitioner Would
 Prevail With Respect To At Least One Challenged Claim (35 U.S.C.
 § 314(a)) Has Been Met; Institution of *Inter Partes* Review on Multiple
 Grounds is Proper (37 C.F.R. § 42.108)..... 7

V. The Challenged ‘280 Patent8

 A. Overview of the Patent.....8

 B. Prosecution History.....13

 C. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))..... 14

 1. Terms Already Construed by the PTAB14

 2. Additional Terms Requiring Construction.....16

 3. Claim Construction Standard19

VI. Unpatentability under Specific Grounds (37 C.F.R. 42.104(b)(4) and Evidence Relied Upon in Support of Challenge (37 C.F.R. 42.104(b)(5))19

 A. Challenge #1: Claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 36 and 38 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) based on Woodhill..... 19

 B. Challenge #2: Claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 31, 32, 33, 36, 38 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Woodhill in view of Langer 39

VII. Conclusion51

I. Mandatory Notices by Petitioner (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))

A. Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))

The real parties in interest are Rackspace US, Inc. and Rackspace Hosting, Inc.

B. Petitioner Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))

The '280 Patent is asserted in related judicial matter *PersonalWeb Tech. LLC et al v. Rackspace US, Inc. et al.*, No. 6-12-cv-00659 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012.)

As of the filing date of this petition, to the best of petitioners' knowledge additional 3rd party judicial matters asserting claims of patent infringement under the '280 patent are: *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. EMC Corp. et al.*, No. 5-13-cv-01358 (N.D. Ca., filed Mar. 26, 2013); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Facebook Inc.*, No. 5-13-cv-01356 (N.D. Ca., filed Mar. 26, 2013); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. NetApp, Inc.*, No. 5-13-cv-01359 (N.D. Ca., filed Mar. 26, 2013); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Google, Inc. et al*, No. 5-13-cv-01317 (N.D. Ca., filed Mar. 25, 2013); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Int'l Bus. Mach. Corp.*, No. 6-12-cv-00661 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. NetApp, Inc.*, No. 6-12-cv-00657 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Facebook, Inc.*, No. 6-12-cv-00662 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Apple Inc.*,

No. 6-12-cv-00660 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. 6-12-cv-00663 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC et al v. Yahoo! Inc.*, No. 6-12-cv-00658 (E.D. Tex., filed Sep. 17, 2012); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Autonomy, Inc.*, No. 6-11-cv-00683 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 19, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Google, Inc. et al*, No. 6-11-cv-00656 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. NEC Corp. of America, Inc.*, No. 6-11-cv-00655 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. NetApp, Inc.*, No. 6-11-cv-00657 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Caringo, Inc.*, No. 6-11-cv-00659 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. Amazon Web Svcs. LLC et al*, No. 6-11-cv-00658 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); *PersonalWeb Techs. LLC v. EMC Corp. et al*, No. 6-11-cv-00660 (E.D. Tex., filed Dec. 8, 2011); and *Akamai Techs, Inc. v. Digital Island, Inc.*, No. 1-00-cv-11851 (D. Mass., filed Sep. 13, 2000.)

In addition, the following instituted trials and/or 3rd party petitions for *inter partes* review are related:

- IPR2013-00082 (instituted for related patent 5,978,791, May 17, 2013)
- IPR2013-00083 (instituted for present patent 6,415,280, May 17, 2013)
- IPR2013-00084 (instituted for related patent 7,945,544, May 17, 2013)
- IPR2013-00085 (instituted for related patent 7,945,539, May 17, 2013)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.