Paper No. 44 and 44 Entered: February 5, 2015

# RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

----

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----

### FACEBOOK INC.

Petitioner

VS.

### B.E. TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Patent Owner

----

Case No. IPR2014-00052 and 2014-00053

Patent 6,628,314

Application No. 09/699705

Technology Center 2100

-----

Oral Hearing Held: Wednesday, December 10, 2014

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday,

December 10, 2014 at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany

Street, Alexandria, Virginia at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom A.



Case Nos. IPR2014-00052 and 2014-00053 Patent 6,628,314

### **APPEARANCES:**

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. Cooley LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304 650-843-5000

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

ROBERT E. FREITAS, ESQ.
DANIEL J. WEINBERG, ESQ.
DANA M. ZOTTOLA, ESQ.
Freitas Angell & Weinberg LLP
350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200
Redwood Shores , California 94065
650-593-6300



### PROCEEDINGS

(9:30 a.m.)

JUDGE MEDLEY: Please be seated.

Good morning. This is a day-long hearing involving Patent Owner B.E. Technology's U.S. Patent Number 6,628,314, referred to as the '314 patent.

The hearing involves three sessions. During the first session, we will hear arguments for IPR2014-00052 and 53.

After the session this morning, we will take a lunch break, and then we will resume to hear arguments for IPR2014-00038 at 1:00 p.m., followed by another break, and we will finish with the final session to hear arguments for IPR2014-00039 at 3:00 p.m.

Because there is much overlap between the cases and to avoid duplicative efforts to explain certain issues, today's hearing will result in a single transcript to be uploaded in each case.

Yesterday afternoon a conference call was held involving counsel for the respective parties and us. One purpose of the call was to discuss B.E. lead counsel's absence for the hearing today and tomorrow.

Specifically Mr. Angell, lead counsel for B.E., explained that an urgent matter arose, work matter arose that would prevent his attendance today and tomorrow.



Case No. IPR2014-00039 Patent 6,628,314

Backup counsel for B.E. are not registered to practice before the Office but were admitted for the limited purpose of participating in these proceedings. As the parties are aware, a party who has counsel admitted pro hac vice is to have a registered practitioner represent the party as lead counsel for the proceeding at all times.

Moreover, the Office expects that lead counsel will participate in all hearings with the Board. Ideally, Mr. Angell should be here. However, given the specific facts of these proceedings, the Board has determined that it is in the interests of justice to proceed with Patent Owner's counsel admitted pro hac vice.

We again remind counsel for Patent Owner that they should update the PRPS metadata and file a notice under 42.8 indicating backup counsel. Based on the current record before us, only Mr. Angell is listed as counsel for B.E.

Please see FAQ F12 on the Board's web page for instructions on how to do that.

For the first session we will hear arguments for IPRs 2014-00052 and 53 between Petitioner and Patent Owner B.E.. IPR2014-000743 has been joined with the 52 IPR and IPR2014-000698 and 744 have been joined with the 53 IPR.

The Petitioners in those cases were given the opportunity to attend today but not to participate by presenting arguments.



Case No. IPR2014-00039 Patent 6,628,314

So at this time we would like counsel who will present for the morning session involving IPR2014-00052 and 53 to please identify themselves beginning with the Petitioner.

MS. KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honors. Good morning. My name is Heidi Keefe. I'm from the Cooley law firm and I will be representing Facebook today.

With me is in-house counsel from Facebook, Stacy Chen.

JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you. And for Patent Owner?

MR. FREITAS: Good morning, Your Honors. I am Robert Freitas of Freitas Angell & Weinberg. I will be representing the Plaintiff this morning.

Daniel Weinberg and Dana Zottola, who is a registered practitioner, are present as well.

JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you. Per the November 14th order, each party will have 60 minutes of total time to present arguments for the two cases.

Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with respect to the challenged claims and grounds for which the Board instituted trial for both cases.

Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's presentation for both cases and present its motion to amend.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

