

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FACEBOOK, INC. and GOOGLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00052<sup>1</sup>

Patent 6,628,314

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and  
LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

**PATENT OWNER'S CONTINGENT MOTION TO AMEND  
(37 C.F.R. § 42.121)**

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2014-00743 has been joined with this proceeding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. SUMMARY OF MOTION TO AMEND.....	2
II. CLAIM LISTING .....	3
III. DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED CHANGES.....	7
IV. SUPPORT FOR CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	7
V. ALLOWABILITY OF PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS .....	8
VI. CONCLUSION.....	14

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

## Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....	ix
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	ix
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	viii
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 .....	viii

## Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	x
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et. seq.....	viii
37 C.F.R. § 42.121 .....	ii
37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(3).....	ii
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.121(b)(1)-(2).....	iii

## Other Authorities

Deutsch et al. <i>How to Use Anonymous FTP</i> .....	ix
Dunn et al. <i>Privacy and Profiling on the Web</i> .....	viii

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

2004	U.S. Utility Patent Application Serial No. 09/699,705
2005	U.S. Patent No. 5,768,508 (Eikeland)
2006	U.S. Patent No. 5,848,396 (Gerace)
2007	U.S. Patent No. 5,796,952 (Davis et al.)
2008	U.S. Patent No. 5,823,879 (Goldberg et al.)
2009	U.S. Patent No. 6,714,975 (Aggarwal et al.)
2010	U.S. Patent No. 6,285,987 (Roth et al.)
2011	U.S. Patent No. 6,892,226 (Tso et al.)
2012	U.S. Patent No. 7,225,142 (Apte et al.)
2013	Declaration of Neal Goldstein

## **I. SUMMARY OF MOTION TO AMEND.**

This contingent motion to amend is submitted in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.121. The Patent Owner requests that substitute claims 23-34 be entered in the event that original claim 11 is found to be not patentable.

The proposed substitute claims include a single independent claim and eleven dependent claims (i.e. the exact number of original claims). The proposed substitute claim set presents one substitute claim for each original claim, satisfying the general presumption that “only one substitute claim would be needed to replace each challenged claim.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(3).

Proposed substitute claim 23 corresponds to original independent claim 11 with the limitation that advertising content be selected for transfer to the computer in accordance with real-time and other computer usage information and demographic information associated with said unique identifier, and that the computer usage information comprise information about the user’s interactions with said computer software displaying advertising content and at least one other program.

Proposed substitute claims 24-34 correspond to original dependent claims 12-22. Substitute claims 24-34 do not include any amendments to original claims 12-22, other than being renumbered to depend from proposed substitute claim 23.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.