

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC., GOOGLE, INC.,
MICROSOFT CORPORATION, and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.

Petitioners

vs.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Patent Owner

Case Nos. IPR2014-00029, 00031, 00033, 00040, 00044
Patent No. 6,771,290
Application No. 09/744033
Technology Center 2100

Oral Hearing Held: Thursday, December 11, 2014

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and LYNNE E.
PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, December 11,
2014 at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street,
Alexandria, Virginia at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom A.

Case No. IPR2014-00029, 00031, 00033, 00040, 00044
Patent No. 6,771,290

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS:

JEFFREY P. KUSHAN, ESQ.
SCOTT M. BORDER, ESQ.
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
202-738-8914

and

BRIAN A. ROSENTHAL, ESQ.
CLINTON H. BRANNON, ESQ.
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
202-263-3000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JASON ANGELL, ESQ.
ROBERT E. FREITAS, ESQ.
DANIEL J. WEINBERG, ESQ.
DANA M. ZOTTOLA, ESQ.
Freitas Angell & Weinberg LLP
100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200
Redwood Shores, California 94065
jangell@ftklaw.com

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (1:00 p.m.)

3 JUDGE MEDLEY: Good afternoon. This is the
4 hearing involving Patent Owner B.E. Technology's U.S. Patent
5 Number 6,771,290, the '290 patent. This hearing involves IPR
6 2014-00029, 31, 33, 40, and 44. The respective Petitioners
7 being Sony, Google, Google, Microsoft, and Samsung.

8 On December 9th a conference call was held
9 involving counsel for the respective parties and us. One
10 purpose of the call was to discuss B.E. Technology counsel's
11 absence for the hearing today; specifically, Mr. Angell, lead
12 counsel, explained that an urgent work matter arose that
13 would prevent his absence today.

14 Petitioners did not object to his not being here
15 today. Backup counsel for B.E., who is not registered to
16 practice before the office, but was admitted for the limited
17 purpose of participating in these proceedings, will present
18 today.

19 As the parties are aware, a party who has counsel
20 admitted pro hac vice is to have a registered practitioner
21 represent the party as lead counsel for the proceedings.
22 Moreover, the Office expects that lead counsel will participate
23 in all hearings with the Board.

24 Ideally Mr. Angell should be here. However,
25 given the specific facts of these proceedings, the Board has

1 determined it is in the interests of justice to proceed with
2 Patent Owner's counsel admitted pro hac vice.

3 The Petitioners requested, and the Board granted,
4 authorization for the Petitioners to collectively present
5 arguments in a consolidated hearing. Per the November 10th
6 order, the collective petitioners will have 60 minutes of total
7 time to present arguments.

8 The collective Petitioners will proceed first to
9 present their case with respect to the challenged claims and
10 grounds for which the Board instituted trial. Thereafter,
11 Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's presentation and
12 Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time. At this time we would
13 like counsel who will present to please identify themselves
14 beginning with Petitioner.

15 MR. KUSHAN: Good afternoon, Your Honors.
16 Jeff Kushan from Sidley for Microsoft. With me is Scott
17 Border from Sidley and Rob Lytle from Microsoft. And I will
18 be speaking, by the way, on behalf of all the petitioners
19 regarding the Kikinis reference. And I have a couple of other
20 administrative issues I would like to touch on.

21 MR. ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon, I am Brian
22 Rosenthal from the law firm of Mayer Brown. With me is
23 Clint Brannon, lead counsel. I also have John Zhu, again,
24 helping me. And from the client from Google is Victor Hsu.

1 JUDGE MEDLEY: And you will be presenting
2 also?

3 MR. ROSENTHAL: I will be presenting on only
4 the Foley reference, the Google petition, although there is a
5 possibility I may comment on the Kikinis stuff, unlikely.

6 JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.

7 MR. WEINBERG: Good afternoon to the Board.
8 My name is Dan Weinberg of Freitas Angell & Weinberg.
9 With me is Dana Zottola, as well as Robert Freitas. I will be
10 presenting on behalf of the Patent Owner today as it relates to
11 all of the arguments presented.

12 JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you very much. Did
13 you want to discuss the administrative matters right now?

14 MR. KUSHAN: Yes, just very quickly. As you
15 can imagine, we have a number of different petitioners and we
16 had to do a fair amount of coordination before we got here.
17 What we would like, if possible, is five to ten minutes of a
18 break after the presentation of the Patent Owner for us to
19 consolidate our responses to the issues that come up, so
20 maybe if we could take a few minutes to organize our views,
21 just because we have multiple parties that are participating
22 through one voice.

23 JUDGE MEDLEY: Understood. Did you suggest
24 this to Mr. Weinberg?

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.