

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION AND GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00039  
Patent No. 6,628,314 B1

---

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. Guyot Anticipates Claims 11-15 and 17-19 of the '314 Patent.....1**
  - A. Guyot Discloses a Method of Providing Demographically-Targeted Advertising to a Computer User .....1**
    - 1. Guyot Discloses Acquiring Demographic Information and Using that Information to Target Advertising to Users .....1**
    - 2. Patent Owner’s Expert Admits that Guyot Collects Demographic Information.....2**
    - 3. Patent Owner Misunderstands the Test for Anticipation.....4**
  - B. Guyot Discloses Transferring a Copy of the Software In Response to a Download Request By the User .....5**
  - C. Guyot Teaches Providing a Unique Identifier to the Computer ..10**
- II. Claims 20-22 Are Obvious Based on Guyot in View of RFC 1635 .....13**
- III. Guyot Anticipates and/or Renders Obvious Claims 12-22.....14**
- IV. The PTO Acted Within Its Rule-Making Authority .....15**
- V. Conclusion .....15**

**I. Guyot Anticipates Claims 11-15 and 17-19 of the '314 Patent**

The Board correctly found claims 11-15 and 17-19 of the '314 patent anticipated by Guyot. Decision at 10-13. In response, Patent Owner argues Guyot does not show (i) collection of “demographic information”; (ii) transfer of software in response to a download request by the user, or (iii) providing a unique identifier to the computer. Each argument relies on limitations not found in the claims, and conflicts with or disregards evidence in the record. The Board should maintain its determination that claims 11-15 and 17-19 are unpatentable.

**A. Guyot Discloses a Method of Providing Demographically-Targeted Advertising to a Computer User**

The Board correctly found that Guyot shows “a method of providing demographically-targeted advertising” and “acquiring demographic information about the user” per claim 11 of the '314 patent. Decision at 10-11. In response, Patent Owner does not dispute that Guyot teaches processes that collect information and use that information to deliver targeted ads. Instead, Patent Owner contends “demographic information is neither explicitly nor inherently disclosed in Guyot.” Response at 10-15. The evidence refutes Patent Owner’s contentions.

**1. Guyot Discloses Acquiring Demographic Information and Using that Information to Target Advertising to Users**

The Board correctly found Guyot shows collection and use of demographic information. Decision at 11-12. Guyot shows a user profile being generated using

answers to a questionnaire presented to the user. Ex. 1006 (Guyot) at 3:61-65; Petition at 28-29; Ex. 1003 at ¶¶ 76-81, 266, 273-274, 300-301; Ex. 1028 at ¶¶ 6-12. The '314 patent acknowledges this web-based questionnaire technique was commonly used to collect demographic information. Ex. 1001 at 2:29-34 (“Perhaps the most common way to acquire demographic data regarding users via the Internet is to request the information using a form written in html (HyperText Markup Language) and provided to the user over the World-Wide Web (WWW) using http (HyperText Transfer Protocol).”). Dr. Houh explained a person of ordinary skill in the art would have read this description in Guyot as describing a process that collects “demographic information” within the meaning of the claims—*i.e.*, “collected characteristic information about a user.” Ex. 1027 at 189:10-192:5, 208:3-12, 209:17-210:15; Ex. 1003 at ¶¶ 266, 273-274, 300-301; *see also* Ex. 1028 at ¶¶ 6-16. This is because, for example, the information collected by the user profile questionnaire is used to establish and update the user’s personal profile, which the server uses to direct targeted advertising to the user. *Id.*; Ex. 1006 (Guyot) at 3:61-65; Ex. 1003 at ¶¶ 273-275, 278; Ex. 1028 at ¶ 9; *see also id.* at ¶¶ 6-12.

## **2. Patent Owner’s Expert Admits that Guyot Collects Demographic Information**

Patent Owner’s Expert, Mr. Goldstein, conceded at his deposition that Guyot describes collection of demographic information within the Board’s construction.

For example, he agreed that information documenting certain types of web browsing activity – such as visiting a particular site on a repeated basis over a defined period – is demographic information. For example, when asked if a hypothetical web browsing history documenting that a user visited the CNN website every day over a 30-day period at 9 am each day could be “demographic information,” Mr. Goldstein stated:

Q. And so in this **browsing history**, there’s no user identifying information that accompanies it, right, in my hypothetical?

A. That’s correct.

**Q. So then under the board’s definition, it would be demographic information as they’ve defined it?**

**A. Yes, it would be.**

Ex. 2015 at 54:5-54:23 (emphasis added). It is undisputed that Guyot shows collection of web-browsing information from the user’s computer, sending this information to the server as part of the Subscriber Statistics, and using it to update the user’s profile, which, in turn, is used to direct targeted advertisements. Ex. 1006 (Guyot) at 2:36-4; Ex. 275, 278, 290-291. Indeed, Patent Owner admits this type of admittedly demographic information would be collected by the Guyot questionnaire. Response at 13 (“The questionnaire might ask the server to identify preferred websites. Indeed, Guyot teaches that ‘the server utilizes [information on Internet sites that the subscriber has accessed over a predetermined period of time]

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.