

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,
MATCH.COM LLC, and PEOPLE MEDIA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00038¹
Patent 6,628,314

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and
LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

PATENT OWNER'S REPOSENSE TO PETITION
(37 C.F.R. § 42.120)

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

¹ Case IPR2014-00699 has been joined with this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. SUMMARY OF B.E.’S ARGUMENT	1
III. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND AND THE INVENTOR’S SOLUTION	1
IV. GOVERNING LEGAL STANDARDS	3
A. Anticipation	3
B. Obviousness.....	4
V. SUMMARY OF THE INSTITUTION DECISION.....	5
VI. LOGAN DOES NOT DISCLOSE THE “UNIQUE IDENTIFIER” OF CLAIM 11 OF THE ’314 PATENT	6
A. Google’s Erroneous Claim Construction And Its Reliance On The Logan “AccountNo.”	6
B. The Board’s Similar Reliance On The Logan AccountNo	10
C. The Logan “AccountNo” Refers To The “Subscriber,” Not The Subscriber’s Computer	12
D. The Logan AccountNo Does Not Uniquely Identify “Information Sent . . . From The Computer.”	12
VII. LOGAN DOES NOT DISCLOSE “PROVIDING A UNIQUE IDENTIFIER TO THE COMPUTER.”	15
A. Petitioner’s “Inherency” Argument Is Unsound	16
B. The Board’s Claim Construction Is Erroneous	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
VIII. LOGAN DOES NOT DISCLOSE THE “SELECTION OF ADVERTISING CONTENT FOR TRANSFER TO THE COMPUTER IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION”	21
IX. THE COMBINATION OF LOGAN AND ROBINSON IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO INVALIDATE CLAIM 15.....	35
X. GOOGLE HAS FAILED TO SUBMIT ADMISSIBLE EXPERT TESTIMONY	37
XI. THE ADOPTION OF THE “BROADEST REASONABLE CONSTRUCTION” RULE EXCEEDED THE PTO’S RULE MAKING AUTHORITY	37
XII. CONCLUSION.....	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Federal Cases	
<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State University</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	3
<i>ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.</i> , 159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	3, 4
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	5, 35
<i>Cooper Techs. Co. v. Dudas</i> , 536 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	37
<i>Lacavera v. Dudas</i> , 441 F.3d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	37
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996).....	6
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	6
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	4, 25
<i>Tafas v. Doll</i> , 559 F.3d 134 (Fed. Cir. 2009), <i>vacated and reh’g en banc granted</i> , 328 F. App’x 658 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	38

Federal Statutes

U.S.C. § 101	38
28 U.S.C. § 1746.....	36
35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2)(A).....	37
35 U.S.C. § 2(b)(2).....	37
35 U.S.C. § 103	5, 35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page

35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
35 U.S.C. § 316, B.E.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	1
35 U.S.C. §§ 326 and 316	37

Regulations

37 C.F.R. 42.100(b)	36
37 C.F.R. § 1.68	36
37 C.F.R. § 42.53	36
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,776 (Aug. 14, 2012)	6

Other Authorities

<i>Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Patent of Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2012-00001, slip op. (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2013)	5
H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt. 1, at 46-47	38

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.