

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00033
Patent 6,771,290

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and
LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
(37 C.F.R. § 42.120)

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. RELIEF REQUESTED	1
III. SUMMARY OF B.E.'S ARGUMENT	1
IV. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND AND THE INVENTOR'S SOLUTION	3
V. GOOGLE BEARS A HIGH BURDEN TO PROVE FOLEY RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 2 AND 3 OF THE '290 PATENT.....	5
A. Legal Standards	5
B. Summary of the Institution Decision	7
VI. FOLEY DOES NOT DISCLOSE CLAIM 2 OF THE '290 PATENT.....	8
A. Foley Does Not Disclose A Separate "User Profile" From A "User Library."	8
B. Foley Does Not Disclose Anything Comparable to A "User Profile."	13
C. Foley Does Not Disclose A "Said Program Being Operable Upon Execution."	17
1. Foley's "JWS Program" And "JWS Browser" Are Separate Programs, Not Related Program Modules	17
2. The JWS Program Is Not Operable Upon Execution To Receive From Server A User Profile Or Access Files Associated With A Selected User Link From The User Library Via A Network.....	21
3. The JWS Browser Is Not Operable Upon Execution To Display A Graphical User Interface Comprising An Application Window Having A Number of User-Selectable Items Displayed Therein	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
VII. FOLEY DOES NOT DISCLOSE CLAIM 3 OF THE '290 PATENT	26
VIII. GOOGLE HAS FAILED TO SUBMIT ADMISSIBLE EXPERT TESTIMONY	26
IX. THE ADOPTION OF THE “BROADEST REASONABLE CONSTRUCTION” RULE EXCEEDED THE PTO’S RULE MAKING AUTHORITY	26
X. CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Federal Cases

<i>ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.</i> , 159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	7
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	6
<i>In re Dembiczak</i> , 175 F.3d 994 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	6
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	5
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	6
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	7
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	5

Federal Statutes

U.S.C. § 101	27
28 U.S.C. § 1746.....	26
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	5
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
35 U.S.C. § 316.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	1
Title 35 of the United States Code.....	5

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page

State Statutes

pre-America Invents Act.....5

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 1.6826

37 C.F.R. § 42.5326

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....3

37 C.F.R. § 42.1201

Other Authorities

Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Patent of Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC,
Case No. IPR2012-00001, slip op. (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2013).....6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.