Trials@uspto.gov

Paper 14 Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: May 9, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE, INC. Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. Patent Owner

Cases IPR2014-00031 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00033 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00038 (Patent 6,628,314 B1)

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, Administrative Patent Judges.

DESHPANDE, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5



```
IPR2014-00031 (Patent 6,771,290 B1)
IPR2014-00033 (Patent 6,771,290 B1)
IPR2014-00038 (Patent 6,628,314 B1)
```

On May 6, 2014, the initial conference call¹ was held between counsel for the respective parties and Judges Medley, Deshpande, and Pettigrew.

Motions

Neither party seeks authorization to file a motion at this time. As explained, if Patent Owner determines that it will file a motion to amend, Patent Owner must arrange a conference call soon thereafter with the Board and opposing counsel to discuss the proposed motion to amend. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a).

The parties were reminded that if they seek authorization to file a motion not contemplated per the Scheduling Order, the party requesting such authorization must arrange a conference call with opposing counsel and the Board.

Patent Owner has indicated the possibility of seeking authorization to file a motion for the consolidation of four of the *inter partes* reviews for the '290 patent, including IPR2014-00031, and has contacted the respective Petitioners to begin discussions. Patent Owner was reminded that if it seeks authorization to file a motion to consolidate, Patent Owner must arrange a conference call with opposing counsel and the Board sooner rather than later.

Schedule

Counsel for the respective parties indicated that they have no issues with the



¹ The initial conference call is held to discuss the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties anticipate filing during the trial. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, *77 Fed. Reg.* 48756, 48765 (Aug. 14, 2012).

IPR2014-00031 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00033 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00038 (Patent 6,628,314 B1)

Scheduling Order entered April 9, 2014. Petitioner indicated that Stephen Gray, who offered testimony in support of the petitions, has limited availability for cross-examination and will work with Patent Owner on scheduling accordingly.

To the extent issues arise with DATES 1-3, the parties are reminded that, without obtaining prior authorization from the Board, they may stipulate to different dates for DATES 1-3, as provided in the Scheduling Order, by filing an appropriate notice with the Board. The parties may not stipulate to any other changes to the Scheduling Order.

Order

It is

ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time.



IPR2014-00031 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00033 (Patent 6,771,290 B1) IPR2014-00038 (Patent 6,628,314 B1)

FOR PETITIONER:

Clinton H. Brannon Mayer Brown, LLP cbrannon@mayerbrown.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jason S. Angell Freitas Tseng & Kaufman LLP jangell@ftklaw.com

