

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.
Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00029
Patent 6,771,290

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and
LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
(37 C.F.R. § 42.120)**

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. SUMMARY OF B.E.’S ARGUMENT	1
III. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND AND THE INVENTOR’S SOLUTION	4
IV. SONY BEARS A HIGH BURDEN TO PROVE KIKINIS ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 2 AND 3 OF THE ’290 PATENT	5
A. Legal Standards	5
B. Summary Of The Institution Decision	7
V. KIKINIS DOES NOT DISCLOSE “A PROGRAM STORED ON SAID NON-VOLATILE DATA STORAGE DEVICE” THAT PERFORMS THE FUNCTIONS CLAIMED IN CLAIM 2	8
A. Kikinis Teaches Remotely Stored Programs.....	9
B. The Kikinis Browser Does Not Perform The Claimed Functions	13
VI. THE ’290 PATENT TEACHES A “ONE-CLICK” SYSTEM.....	15
VII. KIKINIS DOES NOT DISCLOSE A USER PROFILE	21
VIII. CLAIM 3 OF THE ’290 PATENT IS PATENTABLE	23
IX. THE ADOPTION OF THE “BROADEST REASONABLE CONSTRUCTION” RULE EXCEEDED THE PTO’S RULE MAKING AUTHORITY	24
X. CONCLUSION.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Federal Cases

<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State University</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	6
<i>ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.</i> , 159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	6, 7
<i>Cooper Techs. Co. v. Dudas</i> , 536 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	24
<i>Lacavera v. Dudas</i> , 441 F.3d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	24
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	7
<i>Tafas v. Doll</i> , 559 F.3d 134 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	25

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2)(A)	25
35 U.S.C. § 2(b)(2)	24
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	5, 6
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
35 U.S.C. § 316	25, 26
35 U.S.C. § 316, B.E.	1
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	1, 5
35 U.S.C. §§ 326 and 316	25

Regulations

37 C.F.R. 42.100(b)	24
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1

Other Authorities

H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt. 1, at 46-47	25
U.S. Patent No. 6,049,831.....	7
U.S. Patent No. 6,771,290.....	<i>passim</i>
“View, Edit, Save, Compose or Deliver E-Dox Documents.” Ex. 1008, Fig. 4	19

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- 2001 Declaration of Cory Plock
- 2002 Curriculum Vitae of Cory Plock

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.