

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT: 6,424,625

INVENTOR: Larsson, P. et al.

FILED: October 29, 1998

ISSUED: July 23, 2002

TITLE: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DISCARDING PACKETS
IN A DATA NETWORK HAVING AUTOMATIC REPEAT REQUEST

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY DECLARATION OF HARRY BIMS, PH.D.

I, Harry Bims, declare as follows:

General Background

1. My name is Harry Bims. I previously submitted a Declaration of Harry Bims, PhD, which I understand was filed with a Petition for *Inter Parties* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,625 as Exhibit 1004. My background is described in that Declaration.

2. I have been asked for my opinions on certain issues relating to a Patent Owner's Response by Ericsson Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.120, which I have reviewed.

Broadcom v. Ericsson

Garrabrant Anticipates Claim 1 of the '625 Patent

3. In my original declaration, I explained why Garrabrant anticipates claim 1 of the '625 patent. Below I provide an additional discussion of Garrabrant in reply to Patent Owner's Response.

4. Owner argues that none of the commands listed in Garrabrant relate to commanding to receive. Response at 26. The list of commands are general types, such as "RR" for flow and "RNR" for control. A transmitter could use, for example, a control message (RNR) to send a "lost" message that commands the receiver to receive the next packet and move the receive window.

Hettich Anticipates Claim 1 of the '625 Patent

5. In my original declaration, I explained why Hettich anticipates claim 1 of the '625 patent. Below I provide an additional discussion of Hettich in reply to Patent Owner's Response.

6. The DELAY N command tells the receiver to release any expectation of receiving unacknowledged packet N (and any of N-1, N-2, etc)., and to start receiving packets beginning with N+1. Therefore it would make sense for the transmitter to send the DELAY N command and then send packet N+1. At a minimum, Hettich implicitly discloses (and certainly does not preclude) sending N+1 as the next packet and thus discloses the method step of claim 1. I believe a person of ordinary skill would understand that Hettich implicitly teaches various

combinations of scenarios of missing packets, such as one discarded packet, multiple discarded packets, and packets sent in different orders.

7. Hettich discloses “discarding” as claimed in claim 1 of the ‘625 patent. In the example of Figure 12 of the ‘625 patent, all packets between DSN and BSN are discarded, and a subsequent packet with RBEP=TRUE tells the receiver to move its window forward. (See ‘625 patent, Fig. 10B; Ex. 1001). In like manner, Hettich discloses that the transmitter discards cells (packets) because a timer in the transmitter has expired. (Hettich at §5.2.3; Ex. 1007) Then Hettich sends a DELAY PDU to inform the receiver that cells have been discarded. (Hettich, §5.2.4; Ex. 1007). After this discarding of cells has occurred, A DELAY PDU command with $RN = BSN$ or $BSN-1$ (to use the terminology of the ‘625 patent) is sent from the transmitter to the receiver, causing the receiver to “stop waiting for cells where the following applies for the number: $N \leq RN$. It then shifts the window and issues a corresponding acknowledgement.” (Hettich, p. 35; Ex. 1007). This step anticipates the “commanding” step as required by claim 1 of the ‘625 patent.

8. While it is possible that the transmitter may contain non-discarded cells having sequence numbers between the DELAY SN and the next received packet, Hettich implicitly discloses that the transmitter can send DELAY N in order to start sending the next packet at $N+1$. Furthermore, as long as the

transmitter discards packets meeting the claimed conditions (“all packets for which acknowledgement has not been received, and which have sequence numbers prior to the at least one packet”), the discarding step of claim 1 is met whether or not the transmitter discards or does not discard other packets as well. Hettich states that sending a DELAY command with SN means “SN is the highest number of all the discarded cells.”

Walke Renders Claim 1 of the ‘625 Patent Obvious

9. In my original declaration, I explained why Walke renders claim 1 of the ‘625 patent obvious. Below I provide an additional discussion of Walke in reply to Patent Owner’s Response.

10. Walke’s Delay command is not just a command to “ignore.” Delay (n, m) commands the receiver to receive packet #n and to ignore packet #m (*i.e.*, it “releases expectations” of receiving #m).

11. Walke is not limited to “releasing expectations” only for packets with the specific numbers in the example in Walke where the transmitter sends Delay (4, 1). Walke would also release expectations where the release causes a next packet to be sent just after the released one, and therefore non-consecutive with a previously received packet. For example, if packet #3 was discarded and the next packet to be sent was #4, Walke could send Delay (4,3), and the packet

commanded to be received (#4) would be non-consecutive with a previously received packet (e.g., #2).

12. Patent Owner says that “Broadcom’s DELAY (n, n-1) scenario applied to claim 9 would reduce the throughput of the system, and therefore would not be suggested by Walke.” Response at 51. This statement seems to suggest that the delay (n, n-1) scenario means that Walke must be made to operate always in a manner where the next packet was one sequence number after the previously received one. This misses the point, which is that a person of ordinary skill reading Walke would understand that it discloses this possibility, along with any other possibilities. The ‘625 patent also envisions scenarios in which there are no packets waiting to be sent. For example, dependent claim 8 of the ‘625 patent assumes there will be such cases. I believe a person of ordinary skill would similarly assume that Walke could operate in situations with no packets are waiting to be sent, one packet, or multiple packets waiting to be sent.

13. Furthermore, the principles taught by Walke could be used in a Stop-and-Wait type of system, in which packets are sent and acknowledged one at a time. While Stop-and-Wait systems are not as efficient, they were well-known in the art, as indicated in the background of the ‘625 patent (‘625 patent at 1:23, Ex. 1001), and in Hettich at Section 5.1.1. While this Stop-and-Wait approach is less efficient than selective reject, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.