
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROADCOM CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (PUBL)
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00636
Patent 6,424,625

Title: Method and Apparatus for Discarding Packets in a Data Network
Having Automatic Repeat Request

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
BY ERICSSON UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested2

II. Statement of Facts3

III. The Petition is Barred by 35 U.S.C. §315(b)8

 A. Broadcom is in Privity with the D-Link Defendants9

 B. The D-Link Defendants are Real Parties-in-Interest.....12

IV. The '625 Patent is Valid.....15

 A. Overview of Ericsson's '625 Patent.....15

 B. Broadest Reasonable Construction18

 C. Garrabrant Does Not Anticipate Claim 1 of the '625 Patent.....20

 1. Garrabrant does not disclose “commanding a receiver to receive”24

 2. Garrabrant does not disclose “commanding a receiver to release”30

 3. Garrabrant does not disclose “discarding unacknowledged packets”31

 4. The SABM command in Garrabrant does not anticipate claim 1 of the '625 patent.....34

 D. Hettich Does Not Anticipate Claim 1 of the '625 Patent.....37

 1. The Hettich Delay PDU commands a receiver to ignore, and not receive cells39

- 2. The Hettich Delay PDU does not command a receiver to release expectations of receiving outstanding packets.....40
- 3. The transmitter in Hettich does not “discard all packets”.....42
- E. Walke Does Not Render Obvious Claim 1 of the ’625 Patent ..46
 - 1. The delay command in Walke commands a receiver to ignore, not receive one cell.....48
 - 2. The delay command in Walke does not command a receiver to release expectations of receiving outstanding packets...49
 - 3. Walke does not teach or suggest “discarding” all cells53
- V. Conclusion54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Cal. Physicians’ Serv. v. Aoki Diabetes Research Inst., 163 Cal. App. 4th 1506
(Cal. App. 2008)..... 9, 10

Ericsson Inc. v. D-Link Corp., No. 6:10-CV-473 (LED/KGF)3

In re Guan Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding, Control No. 95/001,045 (Aug.
25, 2008)13

Speedtrack, Inc. v. Office Depot, Inc., No. C 07-3602 PJH, 2014, WL 1813292, at
*5-6 (N.D. Cal. May 6, 2014).....11

Taylor v. Sturgell, 553 U.S. 880 (2008)..... 10, 11

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)1, 2

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)1, 2

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2)..... 9, 15

35 U.S.C. § 315(b) *passim*

35 U.S.C. § 316.....2

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.231
37 C.F.R. § 42.1202
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,759 (Aug. 14, 2012)...9, 10, 13

Legislative History

154 Cong. Rec. S9987 (daily ed. Sept. 27, 2008) (statement of Sen. Kyl)9
157 Cong. Rec. S1376 (daily ed. March 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl)10

Secondary Sources

2 Restatement of Judgments § 62, Comment *a*11
18A Wright & Miller § 444911

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.