UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
BROADCOM CORPORATION
Petitioner
V.
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L.M. ERICSSON
Patent Owner
Case IPR2013-00636
U.S. Patent Nos. 6,424,625

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO AMEND
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,424,625 UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.121



EXHIBIT							
NO.	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION						
Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,424,625 ("the '625 Patent")						
	Garrabrant et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,610,595, entitled "Packet						
Exhibit 1002	Radio Communication System Protocol" ("Garrabrant")						
	Kemp, U.S. Patent No. 6,621,799, entitled "Semi-Reliable Data						
Exhibit 1005	Transport" ("Kemp")						
	Certified Translation of Hettich Thesis, entitled "Development and						
	performance evaluation of a Selective Repeat – Automatic Repeat						
	Request (SR-ARQ) protocol for transparent, mobile ATM access,"						
Exhibit 1007	(1996) ("Hettich")						
	Certified Translation of Walke et. al., German Patent No. DE						
	19543280, entitled "Process and Cellular Mobile Communication						
	System for Wireless Broadband Connection of Mobile Stations						
	with ATM Interfaces to Error Protection of an ATM Network"						
Exhibit 1008	("Walke")						
	Bertsekas, et al., DATA NETWORKS, Prentice-Hall, pp. 58-73						
Exhibit 1012	(1987) ("Bertsekas")						
Exhibit 2022	Declaration of Robert Akl, D.Sc. in support of Motion to Amend						
Exhibit 2023	Application No. 09/179,952 ("the '625 App.")						
	Petras & Hettich, "Performance Evaluation of a Logical Link						
	Control Protocol for an Air Interface," Int'l J. of Wireless						
Exhibit 2024	Information Networks, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1997 ("Petras & Hettich")						
	Petras, et al., "Candidate protocol stack (MAC + LLC) for a						
	Wireless ATM air interface," ETSI EP BRAN, WG3 Temporary						
Exhibit 2025	document wg3td78 (13 October 1997) ("Petras et al.")						



	Vornefeld,	"Simulative	and	analytical	study	of	measures	
	supporting the quality of service in a radio-based ATM network,"							
Exhibit 2026	April 1, 199	7 ("Vornefeld	")					



I. Introduction

In the event that the challenged claims are found unpatentable, Patent Owner Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson ("Ericsson") hereby moves pursuant to the Board's March 10, 2014 Order, Paper No. 25, and 37 C.F.R. § 42.121 to cancel claim 1 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,424,625 ("the '625 Patent") and submit proposed substitute claim 20 in its place.

II. LISTING OF PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIM

The following claim listing clearly identifies the changes to the '625 Patent so that the Board can easily and quickly identify the new limitations and deletions of limitations. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(b); *Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp.*, IPR2012-00005, Paper 68 at *50 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2014). Specifically, Petitioner has used underlining to indicate text inserted in the corresponding original claim, as suggested by the Board. *Toyota Motor Corp. v. Am. Vehicular Sciences LLC*, IPR2013-00419, Paper 32 at *2 (PTAB March 7, 2014).

Proposed Substitute Claim

20. (Proposed Substitute for Original Claim 1) A method for discarding packets in a data network employing a packet transfer protocol including an automatic repeat request scheme, comprising the steps of:

a transmitter in the data network commanding a receiver <u>having a receiver</u> window in the data network to



- a) receive at least one packet having a sequence number that is not consecutive with a sequence number of a previously received packet, wherein the sequence number of the at least one packet is outside of the receiver window and
- b) release any expectation of receiving outstanding packets having sequence numbers prior to the at least one packet; and the transmitter discarding all packets for which acknowledgment has not been received, and which have sequence numbers prior to the at least one packet.

III. STATEMENT OF SUBSTITUTION CONTINGENCIES

Consistent with 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(3), this Motion includes one proposed substitute claim for the one challenged claim to be replaced. Further, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(2), the amendment herein does not seek to enlarge the scope of claim 1 of the '625 Patent or introduce new subject matter. Proposed Substitute claim 20 merely adds features to claim 1 and does not remove any limitation therefrom. *See Synopsis, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.*, IPR2012-00042, Paper No. 60 at *43 (PTAB Feb. 19, 2014).

Proposed substitute claim 20 is a contingent substitute claim to replace original claim 1. Claim 20 adds two additional limitations to original claim 1. First, claim 20 explicitly recites a "a receiver <u>having a receiver window</u>." Second, the amendment recites the phrase "<u>wherein the sequence number of the at least one</u> packet is outside of the receiver window." As discussed further below, such a



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

