

United States District Court  
District of Massachusetts

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS	)	
N.V., PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH	)	
AMERICA CORPORATION,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil No.
	)	10-11041-NMG
ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION	)	
Defendant,	)	
	)	

---

MEMORANDUM & ORDER

GORTON, J.

I. Background

A. The Parties

On June 18, 2010, Philips Electronics North America Corporation, a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Massachusetts, and its parent company Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., a Dutch corporation with its principal place of business in the Netherlands, (collectively, "Philips") filed a patent infringement suit against ZOLL Medical Corporation ("ZOLL"), a Massachusetts corporation with its principal place of business in Massachusetts.

Philips' complaint, in 15 counts, is for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,607,454, No. 5,721,482, No. 5,735,879, No. 5,749,905, No. 5,773,961, No. 5,800,460, No. 5,803,927, No. 5,836,978, No. 5,879,374, No. 6,047,212, No. 6,178,357, No.

6,304,783, No. 6,356,785, No. 6,441,582 and No. 6,871,093, which relate to components of automated external defibrillators ("AEDs").<sup>1</sup> Philips seeks a declaration that ZOLL is infringing the patents-in-suit, equitable relief, including an injunction, and monetary damages.

In a related, later-filed case, ZOLL brought suit against Philips for five counts of patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,330,526, No. 5,391,187, No. 5,470,343, No. 5,575,807 and No. RE39,250, which also relate to components of defibrillators and supplemental products, including electrodes and power supplies. ZOLL seeks a declaration that Philips is infringing the ZOLL patents-in-suit, equitable relief, including an injunction, and damages. In August, 2011 the two cases were consolidated.

The parties submitted 35 claims for construction. The Court issued an order requesting that the parties narrow the claims for construction to 16. The Court conducted a Markman hearing on October 25, 2012 at which counsel offered arguments in support of their proposed claim construction of 15 disputed terms. The following is the Court's ruling with respect to those terms.

## **B. The Technology**

### **1. Philips' '454, '879, '905, and '978 Patents**

Six of Philip's patents ('454, '879, '905, '978, '212 and '927) are referred to as the "waveform patents" because they

---

<sup>1</sup> Hereinafter each patent will be referred to by its last three numbers.

relate to the electrical signal (or "waveform") that shocks the patient.

External defibrillators deliver energy to a patient's heart via electrodes applied to the surface of the patient's torso. Due to physiological differences among patients, the resistance to the flow of electricity through the tissue between the defibrillator electrodes and the patient's heart ("impedance") varies from patient to patient depending on the conductivity of their tissues. The intensity of the shock delivered to the heart by the defibrillator can also vary depending on that impedance. A shock that is effective to treat a low-impedance patient may not be effective to treat a high-impedance patient.

Prior art defibrillators required the operator to shock the patient first with an energy level appropriate for the average patient. If the first shock did not work, the operator could then raise the energy level and keep trying. The '454, '879, '905 and '978 patents overcome that problem by providing an external defibrillator that automatically compensates for the different levels of impedance in individual patients in real time by measuring the patient's impedance and adjusting the discharge accordingly.

## **2. Philips' '212 Patent**

The particular waveform described in the waveform patents above is "biphasic." With a biphasic waveform, the system flips

a switch midway to change from positive voltage to negative. Biphasic waveforms had been used in implanted defibrillators but until this patent there was no circuitry that could generate the biphasic waveform at the higher voltages required by external defibrillators. The '212 patent discloses a circuit that can deliver the biphasic waveform at the higher voltages required by an external defibrillator.

### **3. Philips' '374 and '460 Patents**

The '374 and '460 patents ("the self test patents") cover an external defibrillator that can perform self tests to ensure it is functional and ready to use. Prior art external defibrillators were generally designed for hospitals where equipment is frequently tested and maintained. Portable defibrillators designed for a home or office are much less frequently tested and thus might not be functional when needed. The '374 and '460 patents disclose a defibrillator that conducts automatic self tests, some while switched "on" and others while switched "off." After the test, the defibrillator indicates the result "visually and audibly." The patents also describe a "system monitor" that performs the various functions of the self tests.

### **4. Philips' '093 Patent**

The '093 patent is directed to a defibrillator that includes an indicator (audible, visual or both) that reports whether the

defibrillator is functioning properly. The indicator can be activated automatically or in response to a "user-triggered inquiry."

**5. Philips' '785 Patent**

The '785 patent is directed to a defibrillator that uses voice and visual prompts to instruct the user on how to perform CPR correctly because the steps of CPR are often forgotten, even by trained professionals. The covered defibrillator also monitors the heart rhythm of the patient to determine whether it is treatable by shock and, if so, prompts the rescuer to deliver CPR and follow the shock protocol.

**6. ZOLL's '187 Patent**

The '187 patent is directed to a semi-automatic defibrillator which has an alarm. In previous defibrillators the alarm was activated by either the heart rate ("averaged QRS rate") or a shock advisory to indicate to the operator whether the electrocardiogram shows an abnormal heart rhythm of the sort that can be corrected by defibrillation shock. The '187 patent is directed to an alarm based on both of these inputs.

**7. ZOLL's '807 Patent**

The '807 patent relates to a power supply that provides an "AC disconnect alarm." Because a defibrillator is used in emergency situations it is crucial that it is charged when needed. Thus, as the patent explains, "to ensure[] that a

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.