

Filed on behalf of: Philips Electronics North America Corporation

By: J. Michael Jakes  
Denise W. DeFranco  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,  
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.  
901 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001-4413  
Telephone: 202-408-4000  
Facsimile: 202-408-4400  
E-mail: mike.jakes@finnegan.com  
denise.defranco@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ZOLL LIFECOR CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00606  
Patent 5,593,427

---

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response  
to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
of U.S. Patent No. 5,593,427**

**Table of Contents**

I. Introduction.....1

II. The Filing Date for the Pending Petition Should Be Vacated Because  
Petitioner Did Not Identify All Real Parties in Interest or Related  
Matters as Required by 35 U.S.C. § 312 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....4

A. The Filing Date Should be Vacated Because Zoll Lifecor Failed  
to Identify all Related Matters.....5

1. Philips Asserted the '427 Patent Against Petitioner Zoll  
Lifecor and Asserted Related Patents Against Zoll  
Lifecor's Parent, Zoll Medical .....6

2. The Petition Shows that the Massachusetts Action Is a  
Related Matter.....7

3. Petitioner Has Admitted That the Pennsylvania Action,  
Which Includes Claims Asserting the '427 Patent,  
Relates Substantially to the Unreported Massachusetts  
Action.....10

B. The Filing Date Should Also be Vacated Based on Zoll  
Lifecor's Failure to Identify all the Real Parties in Interest.....15

1. Public Documents Show that Parent Zoll Medical  
Controls Its Wholly Owned Subsidiary, Zoll Lifecor .....16

2. Zoll Medical Controls Zoll Lifecor's Involvement in the  
Pennsylvania Action and in This Proceeding .....20

3. Zoll Medical Could Have Controlled Zoll Lifecor's  
Involvement in the Pennsylvania Action and in This  
Proceeding.....23

4. Prior Decisions of the PTO Support Vacating the Filing  
Date in This Proceeding .....25

III. Claim Construction.....27

A. Overview of the '427 Patent.....27

B.	Claim Construction Standard and Prior Claim Construction Decisions .....	29
C.	Claim Terms .....	30
1.	“monitoring” .....	30
2.	“a function of” .....	33
3.	“energy source” .....	33
4.	“truncated biphasic (multiphasic) exponential waveform” .....	34
5.	“patient-dependent electrical parameter” .....	35
6.	“discharge parameter” .....	37
IV.	The Petition Fails to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood of Prevailing as to Any Challenged Claim .....	38
A.	The Combinations of Bell in View of Either Pless, Kroll, or Schuder (Grounds 1–3) Are Not Supported.....	39
B.	The Alleged Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Grounds 4 and 5 Are Not Applicable.....	43
1.	The ’879 Patent Cannot Be Used as a Reference Against the ’427 Patent Under the Safe Harbor of 35 U.S.C. § 121 .....	43
2.	Claim 9 of the ’427 Patent Is Directed to a Patentably Distinct Species Than Claim 9 of the ’454 Patent .....	45
C.	Ground 3 as to Claims 2 and 3 Violates 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	46
V.	Conclusion .....	47

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>FEDERAL CASES</b>	
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd.</i> , 580 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	44
<i>Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.</i> , 467 U.S. 752 (1984).....	24
<i>Dalton v. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 425 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	24, 25
<i>In re Rambus Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	9, 29
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	39, 42
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	9, 29, 32
<i>St. Jude Medical, Inc. v. Access Closure, Inc.</i> , 729 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	45
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i> , 553 U.S. 880 (2008).....	15
<i>Universal Oil Products Co. v. Rexall Drug &amp; Chemical Co.</i> , 463 F.2d 1122 (C.C.P.A. 1972).....	24
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	31
<b>PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE DECISIONS</b>	
<i>In re Guan</i> , Reexamination Proceeding, Control No. 95/001,045, Decision Vacating Filing Date (Aug. 25, 2008).....	26
<i>Heart Failure Technologies, LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper 12 (PTAB July 31, 2013) .....	42

**FEDERAL STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....39  
35 U.S.C. § 121 ..... 43, 44, 45  
35 U.S.C. § 312 .....3, 4  
35 U.S.C. § 314.....38  
35 U.S.C. § 315 .....26

**REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R. § 1.146 .....45  
37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....passim  
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....passim  
37 C.F.R. § 42.106 .....4, 15, 27  
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012) (to  
be codified at 37 C.F.R. pt. 42).....passim

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

18A Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Edward A. Cooper, Federal  
Practice and Procedure § 4451 (2d ed. 2011) ..... 16  
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2258 (8<sup>th</sup> ed., Rev. 7) (July 2008).....9, 29

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.