3305

(8)

DATE MAILED:

06/16/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Птн	is application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A shor Failure	tened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	om the date of this letter.
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. 3. 5.	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Notice of Draftsman's Patent 6.	-
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. 🗵	Claims 1 - 34	_ are pending in the application.
,	Of the above, claims are	withdrawn from consideration.
2.	Claims	_ have been cancelled.
3.	Claims	_ are allowed.
4.	Claims	_ are rejected.
5. 🗀	Claims	
6. ≥	Claims are subject to restriction	
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for exam	ination purposes.
8. 🗆	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. 🗆	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C are acceptable; Inot acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, P	
10.	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	☐ approved by the
i1. □	The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved;disapproved	(see explanation).
12.	Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been role been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on	eceived not been received
13.	Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is closed in
14	Other	



Art Unit: 3305

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) the species defined by Figures 1 and 2; (2) the species defined by Figure 3; (3) the species defined by Figure 6; (4) the species defined by Figure 9; (5) the species involving fixed duration discharging in a second polarity (6) the species involving discharging in a second polarity as a function of a measured first phase end value, and (7) the species involving discharging in a second polarity as a function of a first discharge duration.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The applicant may select one species for describing the first phase discharge combined with a second species for describing the second phase discharge as long as said combination has been disclosed. Currently, claims 1, 11, 12, 23, 30 and 33 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or



Art Unit: 3305

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Schaetzle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2211.

K.S. June 13, 1995

> WILLIAM E. KAMM PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 335

-3-