

# EXHIBIT 2028

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**ERICSSON, INC., TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM  
ERICSSON, AND WI-FI ONE, LLC,**  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*

v.

**D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC., NETGEAR, INC., ACER,  
INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, AND  
GATEWAY, INC.,**  
*Defendants-Appellants,*

AND

**DELL, INC.,**  
*Defendant-Appellant,*

AND

**TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS,  
INC. AND TOSHIBA CORPORATION,**  
*Defendants-Appellants,*

AND

**INTEL CORPORATION,**  
*Intervenor-Appellant,*

AND

**BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,**  
*Defendant.*

---

2013-1625, -1631, -1632, -1633

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in No. 10-CV-0473, Judge Leonard Davis.

---

Decided: December 4, 2014

---

DOUGLAS A. CAWLEY, McKool Smith, P.C., of Dallas, Texas, argued for plaintiffs-appellees Ericsson Inc., et al. With him on the brief were THEODORE STEVENSON, III and WARREN LIPSCHITZ, and JOHN B. CAMPBELL and KATHY H. LI, of Austin, Texas. Of counsel on the brief was JOHN M. WHEALAN, of Chevy Chase, Maryland.

WILLIAM F. LEE, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, of Boston, Massachusetts, argued for defendants-appellants and intervenor-appellant. With him on the brief for intervenor-appellant Intel Corporation were JOSEPH J. MUELLER, MARK C. FLEMING, and LAUREN B. FLETCHER, of Boston, Massachusetts; and JAMES L. QUARLES, III, of Washington, DC. Of counsel on the brief were GREG AROVAS, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, of New York, New York, ADAM R. ALPER, of San Francisco, California, and JOHN C. O'QUINN, of Washington, DC. On the brief for defendants-appellants D-Link Systems, Inc., et al., were ROBERT A. VAN NEST, STEVEN A. HIRSCH, EUGENE M. PAIGE and MATAN SHACHAM, Kecker & Van Nest LLP, of San Francisco, California; CHRISTINE M. MORGAN, DOYLE B. JOHNSON, JONAH D. MITCHELL, SCOTT D. BAKER, Reed Smith LLP, of San Francisco, California; and JAMES C. MARTIN, of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. On the brief for defendants-appellants Toshiba Corporation, et al., were

JOHN J. FELDHAUS and PAVAN K. AGARWAL, Foley & Lardner LLP, of Washington, DC.

MICHAEL J. NEWTON, Alston & Bird LLP, of Dallas, Texas, argued for defendant-appellant, Dell, Inc. With him on the brief were DWAYNE C. NORTON and SHAUN W. HASSETT; and FRANK G. SMITH, III, of Atlanta, Georgia.

MICHAEL A. LINDSAY, Dorsey & Whitney LLP, of Minneapolis, Minnesota, for amicus curiae The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated. Of counsel on the brief was EILEEN M. LACH, IEEE, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, of New York, New York.

RICHARD M. BRUNELL, for amicus curiae American Antitrust Institute, of Washington, DC.

JEFFREY BLUMENFELD, Lowenstein Sandler LLP, of New York, New York, for amici curiae, Cisco Systems, Inc., et al. Of counsel on the brief was MARTA BECKWITH, Cisco Systems, Inc., San Jose, California. On the brief for amicus curiae Hewlett-Packard Company was BARRY K. SHELTON, Bracewell & Giuliani, of Austin, Texas.

T. ANDREW CULBERT, Microsoft Corporation, of Redmond, Virginia, for amicus curiae Microsoft Corporation. With him on the brief was DAVID E. KILLOUGH.

DAN L. BAGATELL, Perkins Coie LLP, of Phoenix, Arizona, for amici Broadcom Corporation. With him on the brief was AMANDA TESSAR, of Denver, Colorado. On the brief for Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. was DONALD M. FALK, Mayer Brown LLP, of Palo Alto, California. On the brief for Media Tek Inc. was STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP, of Oakland, California.

RICHARD S. TAFFET, Bingham McCutchen LLP, of New York, New York, for amicus curiae Dolby Laboratories, Inc. On the brief was PATRICK STRAWBRIDGE, of Boston, Massachusetts.

ROGER G. BROOKS, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, of New York, New York, for amicus curiae Qualcomm Incorporated.

DARYL L. JOSEFFER, King & Spalding LLP, of Washington, DC, for amici curiae Nokia Corporation, et al. With him on the brief was ETHAN P. DAVIS.

---

Before O'MALLEY, TARANTO, and HUGHES, *Circuit Judges*.

Opinion for the court filed by *Circuit Judge O'MALLEY*.

Opinion dissenting in part filed by *Circuit Judge*  
TARANTO.

O'MALLEY, *Circuit Judge*.

Ericsson, Inc. & Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (collectively, "Ericsson") brought suit against D-Link Systems, Inc.; Netgear, Inc.; Acer, Inc.; Acer America Corp.; Gateway, Inc.; Dell, Inc.; Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.; and Toshiba Corp., with Intel Corp. intervening (collectively, "D-Link"), in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging infringement of, *inter alia*, certain claims from U.S. Patent Nos. 6,424,625 ("the '625 patent"); 6,466,568 ("the '568 patent"); and 6,772,215 ("the '215 patent"). All of the patents at issue generally relate to Wi-Fi technology employed by electronic devices to wirelessly access the Internet. Ericsson alleged that all of the patents at issue were essential to the Wi-Fi standard, which would mean that all Wi-Fi-capable devices infringe Ericsson's patents.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.