

Filed on behalf of: Telefonaktiebolaget L. M. Ericsson

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROADCOM CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L.M. ERICSSON

Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00602

Patent 6,466,568

MOTION TO SEAL

Patent Owner Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson (“Ericsson”) requests permission to seal its Patent Owner Response and this Motion to Seal under 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, as each refers to Exhibit 2009, which is already sealed in this matter. Exhibit 2009 contains confidential information [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Because the Patent Owner Response and this Motion to Seal each refers to this confidential information, Ericsson respectfully requests permission to seal each Paper.

I. Exhibit 2009

[REDACTED]

//

//

//

//

II. Ericsson's Patent Owner Response and this Motion to Seal References Confidential Information and Should be Sealed.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1), the default rule is that all papers filed in an *inter partes* review are open and available for access by the public, but a party may file a concurrent motion to seal documents. In addition, 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 provides:

The record of a proceeding, including documents and things, shall be made available to the public, except as otherwise ordered. A party intending a document or thing to be sealed shall file a motion to seal concurrent with the filing of the document or thing to be sealed. The document or thing shall be provisionally sealed on receipt of the motion and remain so pending the outcome of the decision on the motion.

Only “confidential information” is protected from public disclosure. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7); *Office Trial Practice Guide*, 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48756, 48760 (*Aug. 14, 2012*). The Board will only grant a motion to seal for “good cause.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.54; *Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC*, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 34 at 3 (2013).

Here, Ericsson has good cause for seeking permission to place its Patent Owner Response and this Motion under seal pending the outcome of the decision.

The [REDACTED] includes confidential business information [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Both Ericsson and Broadcom previously requested that

Exhibit 2009 be sealed, and the Board agreed by agreeing to seal Exhibit 2009. Therefore, Ericsson respectfully requests permission to seal its Patent Owner Response and this Motion to Seal, which refer to Exhibit 2009.

III. Proposed Protective Order

Ericsson originally proposed that the default protective order found in Appendix B of the Trial Practice Guide be entered, and renews its request.

IV. Certification of Conference with Opposing Party Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54.

A motion to seal requires a certification that the moving party has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the opposing party in an effort to agree as to the scope of the proposed protective order. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54; *Garmin, supra*, at 3. Counsel for Ericsson conferred with counsel for Petitioner and the parties agreed to the continued use of the default protective order.

V. Conclusion

Ericsson respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion to Seal because it has good cause to seal the confidential exhibits.

Dated: June 11, 2014.

//

//

Respectfully submitted,

/Peter J. Ayers/

PETER AYERS

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

13809 Research Blvd., Suite 405

Austin, TX 78750

Telephone: 512.505.8162

Fax: 509.944.4693

Attorney for Patent Owner Telefinakteibolaget

LM Ericsson

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.