Paper	No.	

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROADCOM CORPORATION

Petitioner

V.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L.M. ERICSSON

Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00601 U.S. Patent No. 6,772,215

MOTION TO SEAL



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, Petitioner Broadcom Corporation ("Broadcom") requests permission to seal:

- (1) the portion of Broadcom's Opposition to Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson's ("Ericsson") Motion for Additional Discovery ("Opposition") that addresses Ericsson's provisionally sealed Exhibit 2009;
 - (2) the Declaration (Exhibit 1007); and
 - (3) the portion of Broadcom's Opposition that addresses Exhibit 1007.

I. Ericsson's Exhibit 2009 Is Provisionally Sealed

Ericsson moved to seal Exhibit 2009 under 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, (Paper 11) which states that "the document or thing shall be provisionally sealed on receipt of the motion and remain so pending the outcome of the decision on the motion." 37 C.F.R. § 42.14. Therefore, Exhibit 2009 is provisionally sealed, and remains sealed pending the outcome of the decision on Ericsson's motion.

As acknowledged in Ericsson's motion to seal Exhibit 2009 (Paper 11):





(Paper 11 at 1).

Additionally, although Broadcom objected to Ericsson's inclusion of Exhibit 2009 in Ericsson's Motion for Additional Discovery due to its highly confidential nature, Ericsson included in its Motion. (Paper 11 at 3). Broadcom does not in any way dispute the highly confidential nature of Exhibit 2009.

II. Exhibit 1007 Contains Confidential Information

Exhibit 1007 contains confidential statements regarding Broadcom and the defendants in *Ericsson Inc. v. D-Link Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 10-cv-473 (E.D. Tex.) (the "Texas Litigation"). This information is not publicly known, and should remain confidential.

II. Broadcom's Discussion of Exhibit 2009 and Exhibit 1007Constitutes Confidential Information and Should Be Sealed.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1), the default rule is that all papers filed in an *inter partes* review are open and available for access by the public, but a party may file a concurrent motion to seal documents as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 42.14. Only "confidential information" is protected from public disclosure. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7); *Office Trial Practice* Guide, 77 *Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012)*. The Board will only grant a motion to seal for "good cause." 37 C.F.R. § 42.54; IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 34 at 3.



Regarding Broadcom's discussion of Exhibit 2009 in its opposition,
Broadcom has good cause for seeking permission to place the portion of its
Opposition that discusses Exhibit 2009 under seal. As an initial matter, since
Exhibit 2009 is provisionally sealed, it logically follows that the portions of
Broadcom's Opposition that discuss Exhibit 2009 should also be sealed.

Regarding Exhibit 1007, Broadcom has good cause for requesting Exhibit 1007 to be sealed because it contains confidential business information regarding Broadcom and the defendants in the Texas Litigation. As with Broadcom's discussions regarding Exhibit 2009 in its Opposition, Broadcom requests that the portion of Broadcom's Opposition that addresses Exhibit 1007 should also be sealed to maintain the confidentiality of the information in Exhibit 1007.

Therefore, Broadcom respectfully requests permission to seal the portion of its Opposition that addresses Ericsson's provisionally sealed Exhibit 2009, Exhibit 1007, and the portion of its Opposition that addresses Exhibit 1007.

III. Proposed Protective Order

Broadcom proposes that the default protective order found in Appendix B of the Trial Practice Guide be entered.

IV. Certification of Conference with Opposing Party Pursuant to 37C.F.R. § 42.54.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54, Broadcom certifies that it conferred with Ericsson regarding the scope of the proposed protective order, and the parties agree to use the default protective order in Appendix B of the Trial Practice Guide.

V. Conclusion

Broadcom respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion to Seal because it has good cause to seal the confidential portions of its Opposition and Exhibit 1007.

Dated: December 20, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

/Dominic E. Massa/



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

