



Lauren Van Winkle

From: Morgan, Christine < CMorgan@ReedSmith.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 2:55 PM

To: Justin Nemunaitis

Cc: Ericsson_D-Link; Mitchell, Jonah
Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Justin – as far as we understand it, the IPR is a proceeding initiated by Broadcom to which our clients are not parties. We do not believe our clients are under any obligation to respond to your request or engage in any further dialogue about it. On behalf of our clients, we reserve all rights. Best - Chris

Christine M. Morgan

cmorgan@reedsmith.com +1 415-659-5970 Reed Smith LLP

101 Second Street

Suite 1800

San Francisco, CA 94105-3659

T: +1 415 543 8700 F: +1 415 391 8269 reedsmith.com

From: Justin Nemunaitis [mailto:jnemunaitis@McKoolSmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 2:35 PM

To: Morgan, Christine

Cc: Ericsson D-Link; Mitchell, Jonah

Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Chris,

Does that mean that your clients are unwilling to provide this information due to the lack of a formal discovery request?

Do your clients have any other objections to providing this information if, for example, a suitable protective order is obtained in the IPR?

Regards, Justin

From: Morgan, Christine [mailto:CMorgan@ReedSmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 3:44 PM

To: Justin Nemunaitis

Cc: Ericsson_D-Link; Mitchell, Jonah

Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Justin: This appears to be a request that should be directed to Broadcom in connection with the IPR proceeding, not to our clients, who are third parties. Best - Chris

Christine M. Morgan cmorgan@reedsmith.com



Reed Smith LLP 101 Second Street

Suite 1800

San Francisco, CA 94105-3659

T: +1 415 543 8700 F: +1 415 391 8269 reedsmith.com

From: Justin Nemunaitis [mailto:jnemunaitis@McKoolSmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 1:31 PM

To: Morgan, Christine **Cc:** Ericsson_D-Link

Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Counsel,

Following up on my email below, please let me know if Defendants will be providing the requested information in a form that may be shared with the PTAB and with Ericsson's IPR counsel.

Regards, Justin

From: Justin Nemunaitis

Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 4:15 PM

To: Morgan, Christine (CMorgan@ReedSmith.com); ToshibaDefendants-Ericsson@foley.com; Dell-Ericsson@alston.com

(Alston) (<u>Dell-Ericsson@alston.com</u>)

Cc: Ericsson_D-Link

Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Counsel,

Following up on my email below, please let me know if Defendants will be providing the requested information in a form that may be shared with the PTAB and with Ericsson's IPR counsel.

Thanks, Justin

From: Justin Nemunaitis

Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2013 4:38 PM

To: Morgan, Christine (CMorgan@ReedSmith.com); ToshibaDefendants-Ericsson@foley.com; Dell-Ericsson@alston.com

(Alston) (Dell-Ericsson@alston.com)

Cc: Ericsson D-Link

Subject: RE: Ericsson v. D-Link - Broadcom IPR

Dear Counsel,

I write in regard to Broadcom's petitions for *inter partes* review of the patents found infringed in *Ericsson v. D-Link*. Ericsson believes that those petitions should be barred under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) because at least one of the defendants in this case is the privy of Broadcom. To assist the PTAB in evaluating that issue, we request that Defendants allow the PTAB access to relevant documents. Specifically, Ericsson requests that Defendants provide non-confidential versions of the documents below that can be shared with the PTAB and with Ericsson's IPR counsel:

- All indemnity agreements between Defendants and Broadcom related to the products at issue in the lawsuit.
- All joint defense agreements related to *Ericsson v. D-Link* signed by Broadcom and any of the defendants in this case.



If Defendants will not agree to Ericsson's requests, please let me know why.

Thanks, Justin

Justin Nemunaitis | McKool Smith 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500, Dallas, Texas 75201 tel: 214.978.4213 fax: 214.978.4044

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY:

The information contained in and transmitted with this e-mail is SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT and ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE and is CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, use or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this e-mail by or to anyone other than the addressee designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply immediately. Any e-mail erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately destroyed.

* * *

This E-mail, along with any attachments, is considered confidential and may well be legally privileged. If you have received it in error, you are on notice of its status. Please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message from your system. Please do not copy it or use it for any purposes, or disclose its contents to any other person. Thank you for your cooperation.

* * *

To ensure compliance with Treasury Department regulations, we inform you that, unless otherwise indicated in writing, any U.S. Federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state and local provisions or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein

Disclaimer Version RS.US.20.10.00

