

**EXHIBIT 2001**

Filed on behalf of: Telefonaktiebolaget L. M. Ericsson

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

BROADCOM CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L.M. ERICSSON

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00601, -602, and -636  
Patent Nos. 6,772,215, 6,466,568, and 6,424,625

---

**Patent Owner's Requests For Production**

## **PATENT OWNER'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b), Patent Owner Ericsson Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson (“Ericsson”) hereby requests that Petitioner Broadcom, Inc. (“Broadcom”) produce for inspection and copying the documents requested below, within 20 days of the Board’s Order, service thereof at the offices of Lee & Hayes, 13809 Research Blvd., Suite 405, Austin, TX 78750. Due to the timing and scheduling order, Ericsson requests that Broadcom produce its responses on a rolling basis, with any contracts or agreements in Request Nos. 1-3 being produced as early as possible.

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

1. If any of the following requests cannot be answered in full after exercising due diligence to secure the information, please so state and answer to the extent possible, specifying your inability to answer the remainder and stating whatever information you have concerning the unanswered portions.
2. You must produce all documents responsive to these requests which are in your actual or constructive possession, custody or control, including all documents within the actual or constructive possession, custody or control of any representative, agent, employee, attorney, accountant, investigator or any person acting for you or on your behalf.
3. All documents are to be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business, in the files in which such documents have been maintained, and in the order within each file in which such documents have been maintained; or all documents shall be organized and labeled to correspond with the requests below.
4. If you withhold any document(s) from production on the basis of a claim of attorney-client or any other privilege, or on the basis of the attorney work-product doctrine, you must set

forth with specificity the privilege or work product claim and furnish a list identifying each document for which the privilege or work product doctrine is claimed and a description thereof.

5. If, in responding to the requests, you claim that there is any ambiguity in either a particular request or in a definition or an instruction applicable to the request, that claim shall not be used by you as a basis for refusing to respond, but you shall set forth as part of the response the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation chosen or used in responding to the particular request.

6. Electronic records and computerized information are to be produced in an intelligible format together with a description of the system from which it is derived sufficient to permit rendering the material intelligible.

7. The requests are to be regarded as continuing, and you are requested to provide any additional information or documents by way of supplemental responses as specified in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

### **DEFINITIONS**

1. The terms "you," "your," "Broadcom," and "Petition" refer to Broadcom Corporation and each of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, affiliates, predecessors, successors, assigns, or licensees, privies, and any other person or entity acting or purporting to act on its behalf, and, unless privileged, its attorneys.

2. The term "the D-Link Litigation" refers to *Ericsson Inc. et al. v. D-Link Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No. 6:10-CV-473 (LED/KGF) in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

3. The term "the D-Link Defendants" refers to the Defendants in *Ericsson Inc. et al. v. D-Link Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No. 6:10-CV-473 (LED/KGF), collectively, including D-Link

Corporation, D-Link Systems, Inc., Netgear, Inc., Acer Inc., Acer America Corporation, Gateway Inc., Dell, Inc., Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Consumer Products, LLC, Belkin International, Inc., and Intel Corporation.

4. The term “the ‘568 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,466,568.
5. The term “the ‘625 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,424,625.
6. The term “the ‘215 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 6, 772,215.

### **REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS**

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:** All executed contracts or agreements between Broadcom and any of the D-Link Defendants relating to Wi-Fi compliant products, such as the BCM4313 and BCM4321, that are used in any of the D-Link Defendants’ products accused of infringement in the D-Link Litigation.

**RESPONSE:**

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:** All executed contracts or agreements between Broadcom and any of the D-Link Defendants that include any indemnity or duty to defend provisions.

**RESPONSE:**

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:** All joint defense agreements, or other agreements addressing cooperation on the defense of the D-Link Litigation, between Broadcom and any of the D-Link Defendants relating to the D-Link Litigation.

**RESPONSE:**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.